

Generic dynamics on Oka-Stein manifolds and Stein manifolds with the density property

Finnur Lárusson

University of Adelaide

June 2024

Introduction

Joint work with Leandro Arosio, Università di Roma Tor Vergata

Introduction

Joint work with Leandro Arosio, Università di Roma Tor Vergata

Our topic: Iteration of endomorphisms of Oka-Stein manifolds
and of automorphisms of Stein manifolds with the density property

Introduction

Joint work with Leandro Arosio, Università di Roma Tor Vergata

Our topic: Iteration of endomorphisms of Oka-Stein manifolds and of automorphisms of Stein manifolds with the density property

- For a Stein manifold, the Oka property and the density property naturally fit into dynamical arguments
- Aim: to reconcile two fundamental dichotomies in dynamics: calm vs wild and attracted vs recurrent

Introduction

Joint work with Leandro Arosio, Università di Roma Tor Vergata

Our topic: Iteration of endomorphisms of Oka-Stein manifolds and of automorphisms of Stein manifolds with the density property

- For a Stein manifold, the Oka property and the density property naturally fit into dynamical arguments
- Aim: to reconcile two fundamental dichotomies in dynamics: calm vs wild and attracted vs recurrent

Plan of the talk

1. The two dichotomies
2. Previous work that we build on
3. Our main results
4. Some sketches of proofs
5. Open questions

Fatou vs Julia

Key features of the dynamics of an endomorphism f of a Stein manifold X :

Fatou vs Julia

Key features of the dynamics of an endomorphism f of a Stein manifold X :

Fatou set F_f : points with a nbhd U such that every subsequence of the sequence of iterates of f has a subsequence that converges locally uniformly on U to a holomorphic map into X or to ∞_X

For an automorphism f , set $F_f^+ = F_f$ and $F_f^- = F_{f^{-1}}$

Fatou vs Julia

Key features of the dynamics of an endomorphism f of a Stein manifold X :

Fatou set F_f : points with a nbhd U such that every subsequence of the sequence of iterates of f has a subsequence that converges locally uniformly on U to a holomorphic map into X or to ∞_X

For an automorphism f , set $F_f^+ = F_f$ and $F_f^- = F_{f^{-1}}$

$\text{rne}(f) \subset F_f$: open set of points $p \in X$ for which there are nbhds U of p in X and V of f in $\text{End } X$ or $\text{Aut } X$ and a compact subset K of X such that $g^j(U) \subset K$ for all $g \in V$ and $j \geq 0$

Fatou vs Julia

Key features of the dynamics of an endomorphism f of a Stein manifold X :

Fatou set F_f : points with a nbhd U such that every subsequence of the sequence of iterates of f has a subsequence that converges locally uniformly on U to a holomorphic map into X or to ∞_X

For an automorphism f , set $F_f^+ = F_f$ and $F_f^- = F_{f^{-1}}$

$\text{rne}(f) \subset F_f$: open set of points $p \in X$ for which there are nbhds U of p in X and V of f in $\text{End } X$ or $\text{Aut } X$ and a compact subset K of X such that $g^j(U) \subset K$ for all $g \in V$ and $j \geq 0$

Julia set: $J_f = X \setminus F_f$ for an endomorphism

$J_f = J_f^+ \cap J_f^- = X \setminus (F_f^+ \cup F_f^-)$ for an automorphism

Notions of recurrence

periodic \Rightarrow recurrent \Rightarrow non-wandering \Rightarrow chain-recurrent

Notions of recurrence

periodic \Rightarrow recurrent \Rightarrow non-wandering \Rightarrow chain-recurrent

$p \in X$ is recurrent if there are $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ with $f^{n_k}(p) \rightarrow p$ (Poincaré)

Notions of recurrence

periodic \Rightarrow recurrent \Rightarrow non-wandering \Rightarrow chain-recurrent

$p \in X$ is recurrent if there are $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ with $f^{n_k}(p) \rightarrow p$ (Poincaré)

$p \in X$ is non-wandering if for every nbhd U of p , there is $n \geq 1$ with $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ (Birkhoff). Non-wandering set Ω_f is closed

Notions of recurrence

periodic \Rightarrow recurrent \Rightarrow non-wandering \Rightarrow chain-recurrent

$p \in X$ is recurrent if there are $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ with $f^{n_k}(p) \rightarrow p$ (Poincaré)

$p \in X$ is non-wandering if for every nbhd U of p , there is $n \geq 1$ with $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ (Birkhoff). Non-wandering set Ω_f is closed

$p \in X$ is chain-recurrent if for every continuous $\epsilon : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ there is an ϵ -chain (pseudo-orbit) from p to p (Conley, Hurley)

x_0, \dots, x_n is an ϵ -chain if $d(f(x_j), x_{j+1}) < \epsilon(f(x_j))$, $0 \leq j < n$

Notions of recurrence

periodic \Rightarrow recurrent \Rightarrow non-wandering \Rightarrow chain-recurrent

$p \in X$ is recurrent if there are $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ with $f^{n_k}(p) \rightarrow p$ (Poincaré)

$p \in X$ is non-wandering if for every nbhd U of p , there is $n \geq 1$ with $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ (Birkhoff). Non-wandering set Ω_f is closed

$p \in X$ is chain-recurrent if for every continuous $\epsilon : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ there is an ϵ -chain (pseudo-orbit) from p to p (Conley, Hurley)

x_0, \dots, x_n is an ϵ -chain if $d(f(x_j), x_{j+1}) < \epsilon(f(x_j))$, $0 \leq j < n$

Equivalence relation on chain-recurrent set C_f : $p \sim q$ if for all ϵ , there is an ϵ -chain from p to q and an ϵ -chain from q to p

Notions of recurrence

periodic \Rightarrow recurrent \Rightarrow non-wandering \Rightarrow chain-recurrent

$p \in X$ is recurrent if there are $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ with $f^{n_k}(p) \rightarrow p$ (Poincaré)

$p \in X$ is non-wandering if for every nbhd U of p , there is $n \geq 1$ with $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ (Birkhoff). Non-wandering set Ω_f is closed

$p \in X$ is chain-recurrent if for every continuous $\epsilon : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ there is an ϵ -chain (pseudo-orbit) from p to p (Conley, Hurley)

x_0, \dots, x_n is an ϵ -chain if $d(f(x_j), x_{j+1}) < \epsilon(f(x_j))$, $0 \leq j < n$

Equivalence relation on chain-recurrent set C_f : $p \sim q$ if for all ϵ , there is an ϵ -chain from p to q and an ϵ -chain from q to p

Chain-recurrence is the weakest reasonable notion of recurrence

Conley theory

Conley theory

Conley's first fundamental theorem for a continuous endomorphism f of a locally compact second-countable metric space X (1978):

Conley theory

Conley's first fundamental theorem for a continuous endomorphism f of a locally compact second-countable metric space X (1978):

$$X \setminus C_f = \bigcup_{\text{attractors } A \text{ of } f} B(A) \setminus A$$

Conley theory

Conley's first fundamental theorem for a continuous endomorphism f of a locally compact second-countable metric space X (1978):

$$X \setminus C_f = \bigcup_{\text{attractors } A \text{ of } f} B(A) \setminus A$$

Open subset $U \subset X$ is absorbing if $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$

Attractor determined by U : $A = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \overline{f^n(U)}$ (closed)

Basin of A relative to U : $B(A, U) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(U) \supset A$ (open)

Basin of A : $B(A) = \bigcup_{U \text{ abs, det } A} B(A, U)$ (open)

Conley theory

Conley's first fundamental theorem for a continuous endomorphism f of a locally compact second-countable metric space X (1978):

$$X \setminus C_f = \bigcup_{\text{attractors } A \text{ of } f} B(A) \setminus A$$

Open subset $U \subset X$ is absorbing if $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$

Attractor determined by U : $A = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \overline{f^n(U)}$ (closed)

Basin of A relative to U : $B(A, U) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(U) \supset A$ (open)

Basin of A : $B(A) = \bigcup_{U \text{ abs, det } A} B(A, U)$ (open)

So C_f is closed, invariant under top conjugacy, independent of d

Conley theory

Conley's first fundamental theorem for a continuous endomorphism f of a locally compact second-countable metric space X (1978):

$$X \setminus C_f = \bigcup_{\text{attractors } A \text{ of } f} B(A) \setminus A$$

Open subset $U \subset X$ is absorbing if $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$

Attractor determined by U : $A = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \overline{f^n(U)}$ (closed)

Basin of A relative to U : $B(A, U) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(U) \supset A$ (open)

Basin of A : $B(A) = \bigcup_{U \text{ abs, det } A} B(A, U)$ (open)

So C_f is closed, invariant under top conjugacy, independent of d

We want to relate the Conley and Fatou-Julia decompositions

Closing lemmas, following Fornæss-Sibony

Poincaré's question: Can the orbit of a recurrent point be closed by an arbitrarily small perturbation of the system?

Closing lemmas, following Fornæss-Sibony

Poincaré's question: Can the orbit of a recurrent point be closed by an arbitrarily small perturbation of the system?

Fornæss-Sibony (1997) proved closing lemmas for endomorphisms and automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n . We generalised them:

Closing lemmas, following Fornæss-Sibony

Poincaré's question: Can the orbit of a recurrent point be closed by an arbitrarily small perturbation of the system?

Fornæss-Sibony (1997) proved closing lemmas for endomorphisms and automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n . We generalised them:

Arosio-L (2020) • The tame closing lemma holds for automorphisms of a Stein manifold with the density property

- The closing lemma holds for endomorphisms of a homogeneous Oka-Stein manifold
- The weak closing lemma holds for endomorphisms of an Oka-Stein manifold

Closing lemmas, following Fornæss-Sibony

Poincaré's question: Can the orbit of a recurrent point be closed by an arbitrarily small perturbation of the system?

Fornæss-Sibony (1997) proved closing lemmas for endomorphisms and automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n . We generalised them:

Arosio-L (2020) • The tame closing lemma holds for automorphisms of a Stein manifold with the density property

- The closing lemma holds for endomorphisms of a homogeneous Oka-Stein manifold
- The weak closing lemma holds for endomorphisms of an Oka-Stein manifold

The tameness requirement for automorphisms was an obstacle that we partly overcame by exploiting stable and unstable manifolds

Theorem of Peters, Vivas, Wold (2008)

Theorem of Peters, Vivas, Wold (2008)

A generic volume-preserving automorphism of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$, has a hyperbolic fixed point whose stable manifold is dense in \mathbb{C}^n

Theorem of Peters, Vivas, Wold (2008)

A generic volume-preserving automorphism of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$, has a hyperbolic fixed point whose stable manifold is dense in \mathbb{C}^n

This is one of a number of results saying that “chaos” is generic

We generalised it to a Stein manifold with the volume density property w.r.t. an exact holomorphic volume form (2019)

Theorem of Peters, Vivas, Wold (2008)

A generic volume-preserving automorphism of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$, has a hyperbolic fixed point whose stable manifold is dense in \mathbb{C}^n

This is one of a number of results saying that “chaos” is generic

We generalised it to a Stein manifold with the volume density property w.r.t. an exact holomorphic volume form (2019)

Let p be a saddle fixed point of an automorphism f of a Stein manifold X . The stable manifold of f through p is

$$W_f^s(p) = \{x \in X : f^j(x) \rightarrow p \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty\}$$

$W_f^s(p) \subset J_f^+$ is an immersed submanifold, biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^k
 p and $W_f^s(p)$ vary continuously with f

Main results

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

Main results

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

endomorphism

$$F_f = \text{rne}(f)$$

$$\Omega_f = J_f \cup \text{att}(f)$$

$$J_f = \overline{\text{sad}(f)} \quad (\dim X \geq 2)$$

f is chaotic on J_f

automorphism

$$F_f^+ = \text{rne}(f)$$

$$\Omega_f = J_f \cup \text{att}(f) \cup \text{rep}(f)$$

$J_f^* = \overline{\text{sad}(f)} \subset J_f$ is the closure of the set of transverse homoclinic points

J_f^* is the largest forward invariant subset of X on which f is chaotic

Main results

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

endomorphism

$$F_f = \text{rne}(f)$$

$$\Omega_f = J_f \cup \text{att}(f)$$

$$J_f = \overline{\text{sad}(f)} \quad (\dim X \geq 2)$$

f is chaotic on J_f

automorphism

$$F_f^+ = \text{rne}(f)$$

$$\Omega_f = J_f \cup \text{att}(f) \cup \text{rep}(f)$$

$J_f^* = \overline{\text{sad}(f)} \subset J_f$ is the closure of the set of transverse homoclinic points

J_f^* is the largest forward invariant subset of X on which f is chaotic

Open question for generic autos (to do with tameness): Is $J_f^* = J_f$?

Holomorphic Conley theory

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

Holomorphic Conley theory

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

$X \setminus C_f$ is the union of the proper basins of attraction of the attracting (and, for an automorphism, the repelling) cycles of f

Holomorphic Conley theory

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

$X \setminus C_f$ is the union of the proper basins of attraction of the attracting (and, for an automorphism, the repelling) cycles of f

C_f is partitioned into the following chain-recurrence classes:

- The attracting cycles and, for an auto, the repelling cycles
- $J_f \cup$ “other stuff” (that we describe precisely)

Holomorphic Conley theory

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

$X \setminus C_f$ is the union of the proper basins of attraction of the attracting (and, for an automorphism, the repelling) cycles of f

C_f is partitioned into the following chain-recurrence classes:

- The attracting cycles and, for an auto, the repelling cycles
- $J_f \cup$ “other stuff” (that we describe precisely)

There is no “other stuff” iff $C_f = \Omega_f$

Holomorphic Conley theory

Let f be a generic endomorphism of an Oka-Stein manifold X or a generic automorphism of a Stein manifold with the density property

$X \setminus C_f$ is the union of the proper basins of attraction of the attracting (and, for an automorphism, the repelling) cycles of f

C_f is partitioned into the following chain-recurrence classes:

- The attracting cycles and, for an auto, the repelling cycles
- $J_f \cup$ “other stuff” (that we describe precisely)

There is no “other stuff” iff $C_f = \Omega_f$

For a generic C^1 diffeomorphism f of a compact smooth manifold, $C_f = \Omega_f$ (Bonatti-Crovisier 2004). Relies on a *connecting lemma*

Additional results

The Kupka-Smale theorem holds for both endos and autos
(proved by Buzzard (1998) for automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$)

Additional results

The Kupka-Smale theorem holds for both endos and autos
(proved by Buzzard (1998) for automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$)

1-dimensional result, using Baker (1975), but not the classification
of Fatou components of transcendental entire functions:

For generic $f \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}$, a point in F_f is attracted to an attracting
cycle or lies in a dynamically bounded wandering domain

Every Fatou component of f is a disc

Sample proof sketch

Our key technical result for a Stein X with the density property:

Sample proof sketch

Our key technical result for a Stein X with the density property:

Generic $f \in \text{Aut } X$ has a saddle fixed point q such that

$$X \setminus \text{rne}(f) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \quad \text{and} \quad X \setminus \text{rne}(f^{-1}) = \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

Hence

$$J_f = X \setminus (\text{rne}(f) \cup \text{rne}(f^{-1})) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \cap \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

Sample proof sketch

Our key technical result for a Stein X with the density property:

Generic $f \in \text{Aut } X$ has a saddle fixed point q such that

$$X \setminus \text{rne}(f) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \quad \text{and} \quad X \setminus \text{rne}(f^{-1}) = \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

Hence

$$J_f = X \setminus (\text{rne}(f) \cup \text{rne}(f^{-1})) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \cap \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

We would really like this to equal $\overline{W_f^s(q)} \cap \overline{W_f^u(q)}$!

Sample proof sketch

Our key technical result for a Stein X with the density property:

Generic $f \in \text{Aut } X$ has a saddle fixed point q such that

$$X \setminus \text{rne}(f) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \quad \text{and} \quad X \setminus \text{rne}(f^{-1}) = \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

Hence

$$J_f = X \setminus (\text{rne}(f) \cup \text{rne}(f^{-1})) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \cap \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

We would really like this to equal $\overline{W_f^s(q)} \cap \overline{W_f^u(q)}$!

Proof that $\Omega_f = J_f \cup \text{att}(f) \cup \text{rep}(f)$:

Key technical result and lambda lemma give $J_f \subset \Omega_f$

Sample proof sketch

Our key technical result for a Stein X with the density property:

Generic $f \in \text{Aut } X$ has a saddle fixed point q such that

$$X \setminus \text{rne}(f) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \quad \text{and} \quad X \setminus \text{rne}(f^{-1}) = \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

Hence

$$J_f = X \setminus (\text{rne}(f) \cup \text{rne}(f^{-1})) = \overline{W_f^s(q)} \cap \overline{W_f^u(q)}$$

We would really like this to equal $\overline{W_f^s(q)} \cap \overline{W_f^u(q)}$!

Proof that $\Omega_f = J_f \cup \text{att}(f) \cup \text{rep}(f)$:

Key technical result and lambda lemma give $J_f \subset \Omega_f$

Conversely, let $x \in \Omega_f \setminus J_f$. By key technical result, $x \in \text{rne}(f)$ or $x \in \text{rne}(f^{-1})$. If $x \in \text{rne}(f)$, then $x \in \text{att}(f)$ by closing lemma.

Similarly, if $x \in \text{rne}(f^{-1})$, then $x \in \text{att}(f^{-1}) = \text{rep}(f)$

Open questions

For endomorphisms Are all Fatou components of a generic endomorphism f pre-recurrent? Equivalently (not trivially), is the Fatou set of f the union of the basins of attraction?

Weak closing lemma for chain-recurrent points \Rightarrow yes

If yes, then periodic points are dense in $C_f = \Omega_f$

Open questions

For endomorphisms Are all Fatou components of a generic endomorphism f pre-recurrent? Equivalently (not trivially), is the Fatou set of f the union of the basins of attraction?

Weak closing lemma for chain-recurrent points \Rightarrow yes

If yes, then periodic points are dense in $C_f = \Omega_f$

However, we have proved that endomorphisms with a non-pre-recurrent Fatou component are dense in $\text{End } X$!

Open questions

For endomorphisms Are all Fatou components of a generic endomorphism f pre-recurrent? Equivalently (not trivially), is the Fatou set of f the union of the basins of attraction?

Weak closing lemma for chain-recurrent points \Rightarrow yes

If yes, then periodic points are dense in $C_f = \Omega_f$

However, we have proved that endomorphisms with a non-pre-recurrent Fatou component are dense in $\text{End } X$!

For automorphisms If a certain strong closing lemma holds and if a generic auto has no non-recurrent Fatou components, then for a generic auto f , $J_f = J_f^*$ is the complement of the union of the basins of attraction of the attracting and repelling cycles of f