## A Julia–Wolff–Carathéodory theorem in convex domains of finite type

Leandro Arosio

Università di Roma "Tor Vergata"

Complex Analysis, Geometry and Dynamics Portoroz 2023

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

### Definition (Non-tangential limit)

Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ .  $\angle \lim_{z \to \xi} f(z) = L$  iff  $f(z_k) \to L$  for every sequence  $(z_k)$  converging to  $\xi$  non-tangentially (inside a cone).

### Definition (Non-tangential limit)

Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ .  $\angle \lim_{z \to \xi} f(z) = L$  iff  $f(z_k) \to L$  for every sequence  $(z_k)$  converging to  $\xi$  non-tangentially (inside a cone).

### Fatou's theorem

 $f \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  holomorphic admits non-tangential limit at a.e.  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ .

### More local information: Julia's lemma

### Definition (Dilation and contact point)

 $f: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  holomorphic,  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ . The *dilation*  $\lambda_{\xi}$  is defined by

$$\lambda_{\xi} = \liminf_{z \to \xi} \frac{1 - |f(z)|}{1 - |z|} \in (0 + \infty].$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

 $\xi$  is a regular contact point if  $\lambda_{\xi} < +\infty$ .

## More local information: Julia's lemma

### Definition (Dilation and contact point)

 $f \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  holomorphic,  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ . The *dilation*  $\lambda_{\xi}$  is defined by

$$\lambda_{\xi} = \liminf_{z \to \xi} \frac{1 - |f(z)|}{1 - |z|} \in (0 + \infty].$$

 $\xi$  is a regular contact point if  $\lambda_{\xi} < +\infty$ .

#### Julia's lemma

If  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  is regular contact point, then  $\exists \eta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  s.t.

$$\angle \lim_{z \to \xi} f(z) = \eta$$

## Proof using horospheres

### **Definition (Horosphere)**

The *horosphere* of radius *R* centered in  $\xi$  is

$$E(\xi, R) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} \colon rac{|1 - \langle z, \xi 
angle|^2}{|1 - ||z||^2} < R 
ight\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

## Proof using horospheres

### **Definition (Horosphere)**

The *horosphere* of radius *R* centered in  $\xi$  is

$$E(\xi, R) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} \colon \frac{|1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle|^2}{1 - ||z||^2} < R 
ight\}.$$

#### Proof of Julia's lemma

There exists a point  $\eta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  s.t.

$$f(E(\xi, R)) \subset E(\eta, \lambda R), \quad \forall R > 0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

A sequence  $(z_k)$  converging to  $\xi$  non-tangentially eventually enters every horosphere  $E(\xi, R)$ .

### Julia-Wolff-Carathéodory's theorem

If  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  is regular contact point with non-tg. limit  $\eta$ , then

$$\angle \lim_{z \to \xi} f'(\xi) = \eta \lambda_{\xi} \overline{\xi}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Koranyi regions in the unit ball

### Definition (Koranyi region)

The *Koranyi region* of amplitude M > 1 and vertex  $\xi \in \mathbb{B}^n$  is

$$\mathcal{K}(\xi, M) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{B}^n \colon \frac{|1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle|}{1 - \|z\|} < M \right\}.$$

Koranyi regions are larger than cones, and tangential in the complex tangential direction!

## Koranyi regions in the unit ball

### Definition (Koranyi region)

The *Koranyi region* of amplitude M > 1 and vertex  $\xi \in \mathbb{B}^n$  is

$$\mathcal{K}(\xi, M) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{B}^n \colon \frac{|1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle|}{1 - \|z\|} < M \right\}.$$

Koranyi regions are larger than cones, and tangential in the complex tangential direction!

### **Definition (K-limit)**

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^n$ , then

$$K-\lim_{z\to\xi}f(z)=L$$

iff  $f(z_n) \to L$  for every sequence  $(z_n)$  converging to  $\xi$  inside a Koranyi region.

The Julia lemma in the ball gives the existence of K-  $\lim_{z\to\xi} f(z)$ 

### Rudin's JWC theorem

 $(q = 2 \text{ for clarity}) \text{ Let } f : \mathbb{B}^2 \to \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ holomorphic. Let } \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ be a regular contact point with } K-limit <math>\eta$ . Write  $d_z f$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle \\ \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

The Julia lemma in the ball gives the existence of K-  $\lim_{z\to\xi} f(z)$ 

### Rudin's JWC theorem

 $(q = 2 \text{ for clarity}) \text{ Let } f \colon \mathbb{B}^2 \to \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ holomorphic. Let } \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ be a regular contact point with } K\text{-limit } \eta$ . Write  $d_z f$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle \\ \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi}$ 

・ロト・西ト・西ト・日 シック

The Julia lemma in the ball gives the existence of K-  $\lim_{z\to\xi} f(z)$ 

### Rudin's JWC theorem

 $(q = 2 \text{ for clarity}) \text{ Let } f : \mathbb{B}^2 \to \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ holomorphic. Let } \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ be a regular contact point with } K-limit <math>\eta$ . Write  $d_z f$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle \\ \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) 
$$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi}$$
  
(2)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial \mathbb{B}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$ 

The Julia lemma in the ball gives the existence of K-lim<sub> $z \to \xi$ </sub> f(z)

### Rudin's JWC theorem

 $(q = 2 \text{ for clarity}) \text{ Let } f : \mathbb{B}^2 \to \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ holomorphic. Let } \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ be a regular contact point with } K-limit <math>\eta$ . Write  $d_z f$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle \\ \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) 
$$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi}$$
  
(2)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial \mathbb{B}^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(3)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial \mathbb{B}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$ 

The Julia lemma in the ball gives the existence of K-lim<sub> $z \to \xi$ </sub> f(z)

### Rudin's JWC theorem

 $(q = 2 \text{ for clarity}) \text{ Let } f : \mathbb{B}^2 \to \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ holomorphic. Let } \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^2 \text{ be a regular contact point with } K-limit <math>\eta$ . Write  $d_z f$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{\eta} \rangle \\ \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle & \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) 
$$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi}$$
  
(2)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial \mathbb{B}^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(3)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial \mathbb{B}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(4)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle$  is bounded in every Koranyi region

### Restricted K-limits

### Definition (Special restricted sequence)

A sequence  $(z_k) \rightarrow \xi$  is *special restricted* if it enters a Koranyi region and if

$$\frac{\|z_k - \langle z_k, \xi \rangle \xi\|^2}{1 - |\langle z_k, \xi \rangle|^2} \to 0.$$

### Restricted K-limits

### Definition (Special restricted sequence)

A sequence  $(z_k) \rightarrow \xi$  is *special restricted* if it enters a Koranyi region and if

$$\frac{\|z_k - \langle z_k, \xi \rangle \xi\|^2}{1 - |\langle z_k, \xi \rangle|^2} \to 0.$$

### Definition (Restricted K-limit)

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^n$ .

$$\angle K$$
-  $\lim_{z\to\xi} f(z) = L$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

iff  $f(z_k) \rightarrow L$  for every special restricted  $(z_k)$  converging to  $\xi$ .

### Restricted K-limits

### Definition (Special restricted sequence)

A sequence  $(z_k) \rightarrow \xi$  is *special restricted* if it enters a Koranyi region and if

$$\frac{\|z_k - \langle z_k, \xi \rangle \xi\|^2}{1 - |\langle z_k, \xi \rangle|^2} \to 0.$$

### Definition (Restricted K-limit)

Let  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}^n$ .

$$\angle K$$
-  $\lim_{z\to\xi} f(z) = L$ 

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

iff  $f(z_k) \rightarrow L$  for every special restricted  $(z_k)$  converging to  $\xi$ .

It is an intermediate notion between non-tg. limit and K-limit

### Rudin's Lindelöf principle

If *f* is bounded on every Koranyi region with vertex  $\xi$ , and if it admits a limit *L* on a special restricted curve  $\gamma$  with endpoint  $\xi$ , then

$$\angle K$$
-  $\lim_{z\to\xi} f(z) = L$ .

## Bringing geometry back: D strongly convex domain

### Intrinsic definitions (with base-points)

$$\log \lambda_{\xi,p,q} = \liminf_{z \to \xi} k_D(z,p) - k_{D'}(f(z),q)$$
$$E_p(\xi,R) := \{ z \colon \lim_{w \to \xi} k_D(z,w) - k_D(w,p) < \log R \}$$
$$K_p(\xi,M) = \{ z \colon k_D(z,p) + \lim_{w \to \xi} k_D(z,w) - k_D(w,p) < 2 \log M \}$$

## Bringing geometry back: D strongly convex domain

### Intrinsic definitions (with base-points)

$$\log \lambda_{\xi,p,q} = \liminf_{z \to \xi} k_D(z,p) - k_{D'}(f(z),q)$$
$$E_p(\xi,R) := \{ z \colon \lim_{w \to \xi} k_D(z,w) - k_D(w,p) < \log R \}$$
$$K_p(\xi,M) = \{ z \colon k_D(z,p) + \lim_{w \to \xi} k_D(z,w) - k_D(w,p) < 2 \log M \}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

#### Abate–Venturini show existence of horospheres $E_{\rho}(\xi, R)$ .

## Bringing geometry back: D strongly convex domain

### Intrinsic definitions (with base-points)

$$\log \lambda_{\xi,p,q} = \liminf_{z \to \xi} k_D(z,p) - k_{D'}(f(z),q)$$
$$E_p(\xi,R) := \{ z \colon \lim_{w \to \xi} k_D(z,w) - k_D(w,p) < \log R \}$$
$$K_p(\xi,M) = \{ z \colon k_D(z,p) + \lim_{w \to \xi} k_D(z,w) - k_D(w,p) < 2 \log M \}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Abate–Venturini show existence of horospheres  $E_{\rho}(\xi, R)$ .

What about special restricted sequences?

### Definition (Complex geodesic)

A holomorphic map  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{D} \to D$  is a *complex geodesic* if  $k_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = k_D(\varphi(z), \varphi(w))$  for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ .

### Definition (Complex geodesic)

A holomorphic map  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to D$  is a *complex geodesic* if  $k_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = k_D(\varphi(z), \varphi(w))$  for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ . A *left inverse* for  $\varphi$  is  $\tilde{\rho} : D \to \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\tilde{\rho} \circ \varphi = \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . Notice that  $\rho := \varphi \circ \tilde{\rho}$  is a holomorphic retraction.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

### Definition (Complex geodesic)

A holomorphic map  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to D$  is a *complex geodesic* if  $k_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = k_D(\varphi(z), \varphi(w))$  for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ . A *left inverse* for  $\varphi$  is  $\tilde{\rho} : D \to \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\tilde{\rho} \circ \varphi = \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . Notice that  $\rho := \varphi \circ \tilde{\rho}$  is a holomorphic retraction.

If *D* is convex, then every complex geodesic admits a left inverse. If *D* strongly convex, then for all  $z \in D$  and  $\zeta \in \partial D$  there exists a unique complex geodesic  $\varphi$  s.t.  $\varphi(0) = z$  and  $\varphi(1) = \zeta$ . Moreover  $\varphi'(1)$  exists and is transversal to  $\partial D$ .

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

### Definition (Complex geodesic)

A holomorphic map  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to D$  is a *complex geodesic* if  $k_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = k_D(\varphi(z), \varphi(w))$  for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ . A *left inverse* for  $\varphi$  is  $\tilde{\rho} : D \to \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\tilde{\rho} \circ \varphi = \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . Notice that  $\rho := \varphi \circ \tilde{\rho}$  is a holomorphic retraction.

If *D* is convex, then every complex geodesic admits a left inverse. If *D* strongly convex, then for all  $z \in D$  and  $\zeta \in \partial D$  there exists a unique complex geodesic  $\varphi$  s.t.  $\varphi(0) = z$  and  $\varphi(1) = \zeta$ . Moreover  $\varphi'(1)$  exists and is transversal to  $\partial D$ .

#### Definition (Special and restricted sequence)

Fix  $p \in D$  and a complex geodesic s.t.  $\varphi(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi(1) = \xi$ . Choose a left inverse. A sequence  $(z_k)$  converging to  $\xi$  is *special and restricted* if it is contained in a Koranyi region and if  $k_D(z_k, \rho(z_k)) \to 0$ .

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in *D* such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  be a left inverse.

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in *D* such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  be a left inverse. Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in *D'* such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse.

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in *D* such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  be a left inverse. Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in *D'* such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse.

$$(1)\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q\circ f}}{\partial \varphi'_{p}(1)} \stackrel{\angle K}{\longrightarrow} \lambda_{\xi,p,q}(f)$$

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in *D* such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  be a left inverse. Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in *D'* such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse.

$$(1) \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q\circ}f}{\partial \varphi'_{p}(1)} \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi,p,q}(f)$$
$$(2) \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q\circ}f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}} d(z,\partial D)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$$

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in *D* such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  be a left inverse. Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in *D'* such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse.

$$(1) \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q} \circ f}{\partial \varphi'_{p}(1)} \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi,p,q}(f)$$

$$(2) \ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q} \circ f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}} d(z, \partial D)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$$

$$(3) \ \frac{\partial (f - \rho_{q} \circ f)}{\partial \varphi'_{p}(1)} d(z, \partial D)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$$

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in *D* such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  be a left inverse. Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in *D'* such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse.

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q} \circ f}{\partial \varphi_{p}'(1)} \stackrel{\angle K}{\longrightarrow} \lambda_{\xi,p,q}(f) \\ &(2) \ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q} \circ f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}} d(z, \partial D)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \stackrel{\angle K}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ &(3) \ \frac{\partial (f - \rho_{q} \circ f)}{\partial \varphi_{p}'(1)} d(z, \partial D)^{\frac{1}{2}} \stackrel{\angle K}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ &(4) \ \frac{\partial (f - \rho_{q} \circ f)}{\partial \tau_{\xi}} \text{ is bounded in every Koranyi region} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in *D* such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  be a left inverse. Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in *D'* such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

(1) 
$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q} \circ f}{\partial \varphi'_{p}(1)} \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi,p,q}(f)$$
  
(2)  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{q} \circ f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}} d(z, \partial D)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(3)  $\frac{\partial (f - \rho_{q} \circ f)}{\partial \varphi'_{p}(1)} d(z, \partial D)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(4)  $\frac{\partial (f - \rho_{q} \circ f)}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}$  is bounded in every Koranyi region

Why  $-\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ ?

### Definition (Type)

*D* convex domain,  $\xi \in \partial D$  smooth point. The *(line) type L* at  $\xi$  is the maximum order of contact of  $\partial D$  with complex lines in  $\xi$ .

### Definition (Type)

*D* convex domain,  $\xi \in \partial D$  smooth point. The *(line) type L* at  $\xi$  is the maximum order of contact of  $\partial D$  with complex lines in  $\xi$ . *D* has finite type *L* if  $L = \max_{\xi \in \partial D} type(\xi)$ .

### Definition (Type)

*D* convex domain,  $\xi \in \partial D$  smooth point. The *(line) type L* at  $\xi$  is the maximum order of contact of  $\partial D$  with complex lines in  $\xi$ . *D* has finite type *L* if  $L = \max_{\xi \in \partial D} type(\xi)$ . A strongly convex domain *D* has type 2.

### Definition (Kobayashi type)

*D* convex domain,  $\xi \in \partial D$  smooth point of finite type,  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . The *Kobayashi type* at  $\xi$  in the direction v is

 $s_{\xi}(v) := \inf\{s: d(z, D)^{s} \kappa_{D}(z, v) \text{ is bounded on Koranyi regions}\}$ 

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

### Abate-Tauraso's theorem

D convex finite type [with technical assumptions].



### Abate–Tauraso's theorem

*D* convex finite type [with technical assumptions].Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{D}$ holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\tau$ , and let  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

$$d(z,\partial D)^{s_{\xi}(v)-1}rac{\partial f}{\partial v}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

is bounded on Koranyi regions.

### Abate–Tauraso's theorem

*D* convex finite type [with technical assumptions].Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{D}$ holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\tau$ , and let  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

$$d(z,\partial D)^{s_{\xi}(v)-1} rac{\partial f}{\partial v}$$

is bounded on Koranyi regions.

Moreover, if  $v \notin T_{\xi}^{\mathbb{C}} \partial D$ , then  $s_{\xi}(v) = 1$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$  has a nonzero restricted K-limit.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□ ● ●

### Abate–Tauraso's theorem

*D* convex finite type [with technical assumptions].Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{D}$ holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\tau$ , and let  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

$$d(z,\partial D)^{s_{\xi}(v)-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$$

is bounded on Koranyi regions.

Moreover, if  $v \notin T_{\xi}^{\mathbb{C}} \partial D$ , then  $s_{\xi}(v) = 1$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$  has a nonzero restricted K-limit. If  $v \in T_{\xi}^{\mathbb{C}} \partial D$ , then  $1/L \leq s_{\xi}(v) \leq 1 - 1/L$  and

$$d(z,\partial D)^{s_{\xi}(v)-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \stackrel{\angle K}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Let  $z \in K(\xi, M)$ , and  $\psi : \mathbb{D} \to D$  a complex geodesic such that  $\psi(0) = z$  and  $\psi'(0) = v/\kappa_D(z, v)$ , then

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let  $z \in K(\xi, M)$ , and  $\psi : \mathbb{D} \to D$  a complex geodesic such that  $\psi(0) = z$  and  $\psi'(0) = v/\kappa_D(z, v)$ , then

$$2\pi(1-\tilde{\rho}(z))^{s-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}(z)=2\pi(1-\tilde{\rho}(z))^{s-1}\kappa_D(z,\nu)(f\circ\psi)'(0)=$$

Let 
$$z \in K(\xi, M)$$
, and  $\psi : \mathbb{D} \to D$  a complex geodesic such that  
 $\psi(0) = z$  and  $\psi'(0) = v/\kappa_D(z, v)$ , then  
 $2\pi(1 - \tilde{\rho}(z))^{s-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(z) = 2\pi(1 - \tilde{\rho}(z))^{s-1}\kappa_D(z, v)(f \circ \psi)'(0) =$   
 $\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(\psi(re^{i\theta})) - \tau}{\tilde{\rho}(\psi(re^{i\theta})) - 1} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\psi(re^{i\theta})) - 1}{\tilde{\rho}(z) - 1} \left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}(z) - 1}{d(z, \partial D)}\right)^s \frac{d(z, \partial D)^s \kappa_D(z, v)}{re^{i\theta}} d\theta.$ 

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣ めるの

Problem: in finite type, the derivative  $\varphi'(1)$  may not exists (even in radial limit sense), and the approach can be tangential.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Problem: in finite type, the derivative  $\varphi'(1)$  may not exists (even in radial limit sense), and the approach can be tangential.

Solution (A-Fiacchi-Gontard-Guerini '22): use one-variable JWC to show that the normal component  $\langle \varphi'(z), n_{\xi} \rangle$  always has nontangential limit  $\varphi'_N(1) > 0$ 

Problem: in finite type, the derivative  $\varphi'(1)$  may not exists (even in radial limit sense), and the approach can be tangential.

Solution (A-Fiacchi-Gontard-Guerini '22): use one-variable JWC to show that the normal component  $\langle \varphi'(z), n_{\xi} \rangle$  always has nontangential limit  $\varphi'_N(1) > 0$ 

### Definition (Function $\Omega$ )

For all  $z \in D$  define the function  $\Omega_{\xi} \colon D \to (-\infty, 0)$  as

$$\Omega_{\xi}(z) = -\frac{1}{\varphi'_{N}(1)},$$

where  $\varphi$  is a complex geodesic such that  $\varphi(0) = z$  and  $\varphi(1) = \xi$ .

Horospheres centered in  $\xi$  exist (AFGG '22), and

$$\lim_{w
ightarrow \xi}k_D(z,w)-k_D(w,p)=\log|\Omega_{\xi}(p)|-\log|\Omega_{\xi}(z)|$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Horospheres centered in  $\xi$  exist (AFGG '22), and

$$\lim_{w\to \xi} k_{\mathcal{D}}(z,w) - k_{\mathcal{D}}(w,p) = \log |\Omega_{\xi}(p)| - \log |\Omega_{\xi}(z)|,$$

hence the level sets of  $\Omega$  are exactly the horospheres centered in  $\xi$ :

$$E_p(\xi, R) = \{h_{\xi, p} < \log R\} = \{\Omega_{\xi} < 1/R\}.$$

Horospheres centered in  $\xi$  exist (AFGG '22), and

$$\lim_{w\to \xi} k_{D}(z,w) - k_{D}(w,p) = \log |\Omega_{\xi}(p)| - \log |\Omega_{\xi}(z)|,$$

hence the level sets of  $\Omega$  are exactly the horospheres centered in  $\xi$ :

$$E_p(\xi, R) = \{h_{\xi, p} < \log R\} = \{\Omega_{\xi} < 1/R\}.$$

 $\Omega_1^{\mathbb{D}}(\zeta) = -\frac{1-\|\zeta\|^2}{|1-\zeta|^2}$ , and if *D* is strongly convex  $\Omega$  coincides with the pluricomplex Poisson Kernel introduced by Bracci-Patrizio-Trapani.

(q = 2 for clarity) D, D' convex finite type.



(q = 2 for clarity) D, D' convex finite type. Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . L(v) type of  $\xi$  in the direction v, L' type of  $\eta$ .

(q = 2 for clarity) D, D' convex finite type. Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . L(v) type of  $\xi$  in the direction v, L' type of  $\eta$ .

(1) 
$$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi,p,q} \frac{\Omega_{\xi}^{D}(p)}{\Omega_{\eta}^{D'}(q)} > 0$$

(q = 2 for clarity) D, D' convex finite type. Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . L(v) type of  $\xi$  in the direction v, L' type of  $\eta$ .

(1) 
$$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi, \rho, q} \frac{\Omega_{\xi}^{D}(\rho)}{\Omega_{\eta}^{D'}(q)} > 0$$
  
(2)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial D)^{\frac{1}{L(v)} - 1} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$ 

(q = 2 for clarity) D, D' convex finite type. Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . L(v) type of  $\xi$  in the direction v, L' type of  $\eta$ .

(1) 
$$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi,p,q} \frac{\Omega_{\xi}^{D}(p)}{\Omega_{\eta}^{D'}(q)} > 0$$
  
(2)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial D)^{\frac{1}{L(v)}-1} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(3)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial D)^{1-\frac{1}{L'}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$ 

(q = 2 for clarity) D, D' convex finite type. Let  $f: D \to D'$  holomorphic. Let  $\xi \in \partial D$  be a regular contact point with *K*-limit  $\eta$ . L(v) type of  $\xi$  in the direction v, L' type of  $\eta$ .

(1) 
$$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle \xrightarrow{\angle K} \lambda_{\xi,p,q} \frac{\Omega_{\xi}^{D}(p)}{\Omega_{\eta}^{D'}(q)} > 0$$
  
(2)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, n_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial D)^{\frac{1}{L(v)} - 1} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(3)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial D)^{1 - \frac{1}{L'}} \xrightarrow{\angle K} 0$   
(4)  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{\xi}}, \tau_{\eta} \rangle d(z, \partial D)^{\frac{1}{L(v)} - \frac{1}{L'}}$  is bounded in every Koranyi region

Existence of horospheres and Julia Lemma



Existence of horospheres and Julia Lemma

Scaling to show that the normal segment  $\sigma : [t_0, 1) \to D$  given by  $\sigma(t) = \xi + (t-1)\phi'_N(1)$  has the property

 $\lim_{t\to 1} k_D(\varphi(t), \sigma(t)) = 0$ 

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

for every complex geodesic  $\varphi$  with endpoint  $\xi$ .

Existence of horospheres and Julia Lemma

Scaling to show that the normal segment  $\sigma : [t_0, 1) \to D$  given by  $\sigma(t) = \xi + (t-1)\phi'_N(1)$  has the property

 $\lim_{t\to 1} k_D(\varphi(t), \sigma(t)) = 0$ 

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

for every complex geodesic  $\varphi$  with endpoint  $\xi$ .

Scaling to show that  $L(v) = \frac{1}{s_{\epsilon}(v)}$ .

Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ . Let  $\nu := (\varphi_p)'_N(1)$ .

Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ . Let  $\nu := (\varphi_p)'_N(1)$ . Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse.

Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ . Let  $\nu := (\varphi_p)'_N(1)$ . Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse. Consider  $\tilde{f} := \tilde{\rho}_q \circ f$ .

Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ . Let  $\nu := (\varphi_p)'_N(1)$ . Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse. Consider  $\tilde{f} := \tilde{\rho}_q \circ f$ .  $\lambda_{\xi,p,0}(\tilde{f}) = \lim_{t \to 1} \exp[k_{\mathbb{D}}(0,t) - k_{\mathbb{D}}(\tilde{f}(\varphi(t)),0)]$  $= \lim_{t \to 1} \exp[k_{\mathbb{D}}(0,t) - k_{\mathbb{D}}(\tilde{f}(\sigma(t)),0)] = \lim_{t \to 1} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma(t)).$ 

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Let  $\varphi_p$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_p(0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_p(1) = \xi$ . Let  $\nu := (\varphi_p)'_N(1)$ . Let  $\varphi_q$  be a complex geodesic in D' such that  $\varphi_q(0) = q$ ,  $\varphi_q(1) = \eta$ , let  $\tilde{\rho}_q$  be a left inverse. Consider  $\tilde{f} := \tilde{\rho}_q \circ f$ .  $\lambda_{\xi,p,0}(\tilde{f}) = \lim_{t \to 1} \exp[k_{\mathbb{D}}(0,t) - k_{\mathbb{D}}(\tilde{f}(\varphi(t)), 0)]$ 

$$= \lim_{t \to 1} \exp[k_{\mathbb{D}}(0,t) - k_{\mathbb{D}}(\tilde{f}(\sigma(t)),0)] = \lim_{t \to 1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}(\sigma(t)).$$

#### Hence

$$\angle K - \lim_{z \to \xi} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial n_{\xi}}(z) = \lambda_{\xi, p, 0}(\tilde{f}) |\Omega_{\xi}^{D}(p)| = \lambda_{\xi, p, q}(f) |\Omega_{\xi}^{D}(p)|.$$

Moreover  $\angle K$ -  $\lim_{w \to \eta} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_q}{\partial n_\eta}(w) = |\Omega_{\eta}^{D'}(q)|$ .