The complemented subspace problem in Banach lattices: A counterexample

David de Hevia

work **in progress** with Gonzalo Martínez Cervantes, Alberto Salguero, and Pedro Tradacete

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas

Positivity XI

Ljubljana, July 10, 2023

Supported by grants CEX2019-000904-S and PID2020-116398GB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by an FPU grant FPU20/03334 funded by Ministerio de Universidades.

Table of Contents

The Complemented Subspace Problem

One of the most important problems in the theory of Banach lattices, which is still open, is whether any complemented subspace of a Banach lattice must be linearly isomorphic to a Banach lattice.

P. Casazza, N. Kalton and L. Tzafriri (1987)

The Complemented Subspace Problem

One of the most important problems in the theory of Banach lattices, which is still open, is whether any complemented subspace of a Banach lattice must be linearly isomorphic to a Banach lattice.

P. Casazza, N. Kalton and L. Tzafriri (1987)

Definition. A Banach lattice is a real Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ equipped with a lattice order $\leq$ which is compatible with the linear structure of X (1) and with its norm (2) in the sense that

1. If $x \leq y$, then $x + z \leq y + z$ and $ax \leq ay$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. If $|x| \leq |y|$, then $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$.

The Complemented Subspace Problem

One of the most important problems in the theory of Banach lattices, which is still open, is whether any complemented subspace of a Banach lattice must be linearly isomorphic to a Banach lattice.

P. Casazza, N. Kalton and L. Tzafriri (1987)

Definition. A Banach lattice is a real Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ equipped with a lattice order $\leq$ which is compatible with the linear structure of X (1) and with its norm (2) in the sense that

1. If $x \leq y$, then $x + z \leq y + z$ and $ax \leq ay$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. If $|x| \leq |y|$, then $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$.

CSP in Banach lattices: Are complemented subspaces in Banach lattices isomorphic to Banach lattices?

The Complemented Subspace Problem

One of the most important problems in the theory of Banach lattices, which is still open, is whether any complemented subspace of a Banach lattice must be linearly isomorphic to a Banach lattice.

P. Casazza, N. Kalton and L. Tzafriri (1987)

Definition. A Banach lattice is a real Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ equipped with a lattice order $\leq$ which is compatible with the linear structure of X (1) and with its norm (2) in the sense that

1. If $x \leq y$, then $x + z \leq y + z$ and $ax \leq ay$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. If $|x| \leq |y|$, then $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$.

CSP in Banach lattices: Are complemented subspaces in Banach lattices isomorphic to Banach lattices?

Terminology: By an isomorphism $T : E \to F$ (E, F Banach spaces) we mean a bijective continuous linear mapping such that T^{-1} is also continuous.

We say that a subspace F of a Banach space E is complemented if there exists a continuous linear mapping $P : E \to E$, with $P \circ P = P$, such that $P(E) = F$.

The Complemented Subspace Problem

Let X be a Banach lattice and let $E \subset X$ be a Banach space complemented by a linear projection $P : X \to X$. An important remark:

We are not assuming any relation between the lattice structure of X and the projection P.

The Complemented Subspace Problem

Let X be a Banach lattice and let $E \subset X$ be a Banach space complemented by a linear projection $P : X \to X$. An important remark:

We are not assuming any relation between the lattice structure of X and the projection P.

- If P is a lattice homomorphism (that is, $P(x \vee y) = Px \vee Py$), then E is a sublattice of X.

The Complemented Subspace Problem

Let X be a Banach lattice and let $E \subset X$ be a Banach space complemented by a linear projection $P : X \to X$. An important remark:

We are not assuming any relation between the lattice structure of X and the projection P.

- If P is a lattice homomorphism (that is, $P(x \vee y) = Px \vee Py$), then E is a sublattice of X.
- If P is positive (that is, $Px \geq 0$ whenever $x \geq 0$), then E with the order inherited, its lattice operations given by

$$x \vee_E y = P(x \vee y), \quad x \wedge_E y = P(x \wedge y) \quad \text{and} \quad |x|_E = P(|x|),$$

  and with the renorming $|||x||| = \|P|x|\|$ (for $x \in E$) is a Banach lattice.

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria

Examples

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria

- $c_0 \not\subset X$ and $\ell_1 \not\subset X \iff X$ reflexive.

Examples

James $\mathcal{J}$.

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria	**Examples**
• $c_0 \not\subset X$ and $\ell_1 \not\subset X \iff X$ reflexive.	James $\mathcal{J}$.
• X has an unconditional basic sequence.	Gowers-Maurey (1993).

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria	**Examples**
• $c_0 \not\subset X$ and $\ell_1 \not\subset X \iff X$ reflexive.	James $\mathcal{J}$.
• X has an unconditional basic sequence.	Gowers-Maurey (1993).
• X has the *GL-lust* property.	$\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, Z_2 (Kalton-Peck) ...

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria	**Examples**
• $c_0 \not\subset X$ and $\ell_1 \not\subset X \iff X$ reflexive.	James $\mathcal{J}$.
• X has an unconditional basic sequence.	Gowers-Maurey (1993).
• X has the *GL-lust* property.	$\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, Z_2 (Kalton-Peck)...

Obstacle: These criteria remain valid when X is a complemented subspace of a Banach lattice.

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria	**Examples**
• $c_0 \not\subset X$ and $\ell_1 \not\subset X \iff X$ reflexive.	James $\mathcal{J}$.
• X has an unconditional basic sequence.	Gowers-Maurey (1993).
• X has the *GL-lust* property.	$\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, Z_2 (Kalton-Peck)...

Obstacle: These criteria remain valid when X is a complemented subspace of a Banach lattice.

Free Banach lattices provide a (not very tractable) criterion to distinguish between the two:

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria	**Examples**
• $c_0 \not\subset X$ and $\ell_1 \not\subset X \iff X$ reflexive.	James $\mathcal{J}$.
• X has an unconditional basic sequence.	Gowers-Maurey (1993).
• X has the *GL-lust* property.	$\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, Z_2 (Kalton-Peck)...

Obstacle: These criteria remain valid when X is a complemented subspace of a Banach lattice.

Free Banach lattices provide a (not very tractable) criterion to distinguish between the two:

- X is complemented in a Banach lattice $\iff$ X is complemented in $\mathrm{FBL}[X]$.

Some Banach spaces which are not Banach lattices

Let X be a Banach lattice.

Criteria	**Examples**
• $c_0 \not\subset X$ and $\ell_1 \not\subset X \iff X$ reflexive.	James $\mathcal{J}$.
• X has an unconditional basic sequence.	Gowers-Maurey (1993).
• X has the *GL-lust* property.	$\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, Z_2 (Kalton-Peck) . . .

Obstacle: These criteria remain valid when X is a complemented subspace of a Banach lattice.

Free Banach lattices provide a (not very tractable) criterion to distinguish between the two:

- X is complemented in a Banach lattice $\iff X$ is complemented in FBL$[X]$.
- X is isomorphic to a Banach lattice $\iff$ there is an ideal $I \subset$ FBL$[X]$ such that FBL$[X] = I \oplus X$.

Some answers and some open questions

Positive answers

- Every 1-complemented subspace of an L_p-space $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is an L_p-space (Bernau-Lacey 1974).

Conjectures (?)

- Every complemented subspace of $L_1[0,1]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_1 or $L_1[0,1]$.

Some answers and some open questions

Positive answers

- Every 1-complemented subspace of an L_p-space $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is an L_p-space (Bernau-Lacey 1974).
- Every 1-complemented subspace of a separable $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space is isomorphic to a $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space (Benyamini 1973).

Conjectures (?)

- Every complemented subspace of $L_1[0,1]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_1 or $L_1[0,1]$.
- Every complemented subspace of $C[0,1]$ is isomorphic to a $C(K)$-space.

Some answers and some open questions

Positive answers

- Every 1-complemented subspace of an L_p-space $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is an L_p-space (Bernau-Lacey 1974).
- Every 1-complemented subspace of a separable $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space is isomorphic to a $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space (Benyamini 1973).
- In the complex case: every 1-complemented subspace of a space with 1-unconditional basis also has 1-unconditional basis. (Kalton-Wood 1976)

Conjectures (?)

- Every complemented subspace of $L_1[0,1]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_1 or $L_1[0,1]$.
- Every complemented subspace of $C[0,1]$ is isomorphic to a $C(K)$-space.
- Every complemented subspace of a space with unconditional basis has unconditional basis.

Some answers and some open questions

Positive answers

- Every 1-complemented subspace of an L_p-space $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is an L_p-space (Bernau-Lacey 1974).
- Every 1-complemented subspace of a separable $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space is isomorphic to a $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space (Benyamini 1973).
- In the complex case: every 1-complemented subspace of a space with 1-unconditional basis also has 1-unconditional basis. (Kalton-Wood 1976)

Conjectures (?)

- Every complemented subspace of $L_1[0,1]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_1 or $L_1[0,1]$.
- Every complemented subspace of $C[0,1]$ is isomorphic to a $C(K)$-space.
- Every complemented subspace of a space with unconditional basis has unconditional basis.

Recently (2021), G. Plebanek and A. Salguero have constructed an example (denoted by PS_2) of a complemented subspace of $C(K)$-space which is not isomorphic to any $C(K)$-space.

Some answers and some open questions

Positive answers

- Every 1-complemented subspace of an L_p-space $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is an L_p-space (Bernau-Lacey 1974).
- Every 1-complemented subspace of a separable $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space is isomorphic to a $\mathcal{C}(K)$-space (Benyamini 1973).
- In the complex case: every 1-complemented subspace of a space with 1-unconditional basis also has 1-unconditional basis. (Kalton-Wood 1976)

Conjectures (?)

- Every complemented subspace of $L_1[0,1]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_1 or $L_1[0,1]$.
- Every complemented subspace of $C[0,1]$ is isomorphic to a $C(K)$-space.
- Every complemented subspace of a space with unconditional basis has unconditional basis.

Recently (2021), G. Plebanek and A. Salguero have constructed an example (denoted by PS_2) of a complemented subspace of $C(K)$-space which is not isomorphic to any $C(K)$-space.

Actually, PS_2 cannot be isomorphic to a Banach lattice.

Table of Contents

$\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces

Definition. Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\lambda > 1$, a Banach space X is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space if for every finite-dimensional subspace E of X there exists a finite-dimensional subspace F of X such that

- $E \subset F$;
- $d(F, \ell_p^{\dim F}) \leq \lambda$ (there is an isomorphism $T : F \to \ell_p^{\dim F}$ such that $\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| \leq \lambda$).

We say that a Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}_p$-space if it is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space for some $\lambda > 1$.

$\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces

Definition. Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\lambda > 1$, a Banach space X is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space if for every finite-dimensional subspace E of X there exists a finite-dimensional subspace F of X such that

- $E \subset F$;
- $d(F, \ell_p^{\dim F}) \leq \lambda$ (there is an isomorphism $T : F \to \ell_p^{\dim F}$ such that $\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| \leq \lambda$).

We say that a Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}_p$-space if it is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space for some $\lambda > 1$.

Examples: $L_p(\mu)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. $C(K)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces.

$\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces

Definition. Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\lambda > 1$, a Banach space X is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space if for every finite-dimensional subspace E of X there exists a finite-dimensional subspace F of X such that

- $E \subset F$;
- $d(F, \ell_p^{\dim F}) \leq \lambda$ (there is an isomorphism $T : F \to \ell_p^{\dim F}$ such that $\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| \leq \lambda$).

We say that a Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}_p$-space if it is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space for some $\lambda > 1$.

Examples: $L_p(\mu)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. $C(K)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces.

This property is preserved by isomorphisms.

$\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces

Definition. Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\lambda > 1$, a Banach space X is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space if for every finite-dimensional subspace E of X there exists a finite-dimensional subspace F of X such that

- $E \subset F$;
- $d(F, \ell_p^{\dim F}) \leq \lambda$ (there is an isomorphism $T : F \to \ell_p^{\dim F}$ such that $\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| \leq \lambda$).

We say that a Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}_p$-space if it is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space for some $\lambda > 1$.

Examples: $L_p(\mu)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. $C(K)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces.

This property is preserved by isomorphisms.

Every complemented subspace of an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space (resp., $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space) is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space (resp., $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space).

$\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces

Definition. Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\lambda > 1$, a Banach space X is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space if for every finite-dimensional subspace E of X there exists a finite-dimensional subspace F of X such that

- $E \subset F$;
- $d(F, \ell_p^{\dim F}) \leq \lambda$ (there is an isomorphism $T : F \to \ell_p^{\dim F}$ such that $\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| \leq \lambda$).

We say that a Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}_p$-space if it is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$-space for some $\lambda > 1$.

Examples: $L_p(\mu)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. $C(K)$-spaces are $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces.

This property is preserved by isomorphisms.

Every complemented subspace of an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space (resp., $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space) is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space (resp., $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space).

Definition. A Banach lattice X is said to be an AM-space if $\|x \vee y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for any $x, y \in X^+$. An AL-space is a Banach lattice such that $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ for every $x, y \in X^+$.

A first remark

PS_2 is complemented in a $C(K)$-space. Thus, it is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space.

A first remark

PS_2 is complemented in a $C(K)$-space. Thus, it is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space.

Consequence. If PS_2 were isomorphic to a Banach lattice, then it would be isomorphic to an AM-space. Why?

A first remark

PS_2 is complemented in a $C(K)$-space. Thus, it is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space.

Consequence. If PS_2 were isomorphic to a Banach lattice, then it would be isomorphic to an AM-space. Why?

Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$-space.

A first remark

PS_2 is complemented in a $C(K)$-space. Thus, it is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space.
Consequence. If PS_2 were isomorphic to a Banach lattice, then it would be isomorphic to an AM-space. Why?

Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$-space.

Idea (Abramovich-Wojtaszczyk, 1975). For every $x \in X$, define

$$|||x||| = \sup\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|x_i\| \ : \ (x_i)_{i=1}^m \text{ with } |x_i| \wedge |x_j| = 0 \ s.t. \ x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \right\}.$$

A first remark

PS_2 is complemented in a $C(K)$-space. Thus, it is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space.
Consequence. If PS_2 were isomorphic to a Banach lattice, then it would be isomorphic to an AM-space. Why?

Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$-space.

Idea (Abramovich-Wojtaszczyk, 1975). For every $x \in X$, define

$$|||x||| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|x_i\| \ : \ (x_i)_{i=1}^{m} \text{ with } |x_i| \wedge |x_j| = 0 \ s.t. \ x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \right\}.$$

$|||\cdot|||$ is an AL-norm (compatible with the lattice order of X) and is related with the original norm by

$$\|x\| \leq |||x||| \leq (K_G \lambda)^2 \|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$-space.

Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$-space.

Corollary 1. Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an AM-space.

Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$-space.

Corollary 1. Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an AM-space.

Proof. X^* Banach lattice and $\mathcal{L}_1$-space, hence X^* is lattice isomorphic to an AL-space. Then, X^{**} is lattice isomorphic to certain $C(K)$-space, so X is lattice embeddable into that $C(K)$-space. □

Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$-space.

Corollary 1. Let X be a Banach lattice which is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space. Then X is lattice isomorphic to an AM-space.

Proof. X^* Banach lattice and $\mathcal{L}_1$-space, hence X^* is lattice isomorphic to an AL-space. Then, X^{**} is lattice isomorphic to certain $C(K)$-space, so X is lattice embeddable into that $C(K)$-space. □

Corollary 2. If the CSP had a positive answer in the separable setting:

1. Every complemented subspace of $L_1[0,1]$ would be isomorphic to ℓ_1 or to $L_1[0,1]$.
2. Every complemented subpace of $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ would be isomorphic to a $C(K)$-space.

Some comments about PS_2

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an almost disjoint family, that is, $|A_\xi|$ is infinite for every ξ and $|A_\xi \cap A_{\xi'}|$ is finite whenever $\xi \neq \xi'$.

For every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ we decompose $A_\xi \times \{0,1\} = \widehat{A_\xi} = B_\xi^0 \biguplus B_\xi^1$ in the following way

Some comments about PS_2

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\xi \,:\, \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an almost disjoint family, that is, $|A_\xi|$ is infinite for every ξ and $|A_\xi \cap A_{\xi'}|$ is finite whenever $\xi \neq \xi'$.

For every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ we decompose $A_\xi \times \{0,1\} = \widehat{A_\xi} = B_\xi^0 \uplus B_\xi^1$ in the following way



Some comments about PS_2

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an almost disjoint family, that is, $|A_\xi|$ is infinite for every ξ and $|A_\xi \cap A_{\xi'}|$ is finite whenever $\xi \neq \xi'$.

For every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ we decompose $A_\xi \times \{0,1\} = \widehat{A_\xi} = B^0_\xi \uplus B^1_\xi$ in the following way

$\widehat{A_\xi}$



Some comments about PS_2

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an almost disjoint family, that is, $|A_\xi|$ is infinite for every ξ and $|A_\xi \cap A_{\xi'}|$ is finite whenever $\xi \neq \xi'$.

For every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ we decompose $A_\xi \times \{0,1\} = \widehat{A_\xi} = B_\xi^0 \biguplus B_\xi^1$ in the following way

$\widehat{A_\xi}$



We define:

$$\mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{B}) = \overline{\mathsf{span}}\big(\{\mathbf{1}_{B_\xi^0},\ \mathbf{1}_{B_\xi^1} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup c_{00}(\widehat{\mathbb{N}}) \cup \{\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\mathbb{N}}}\}\big) \subset \ell_\infty,$$

$$\mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{\mathsf{span}}\big(\{\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{A_\xi}} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \widehat{c_{00}(\mathbb{N})} \cup \{\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{N}}}\}\big) \subset \ell_\infty.$$

Some comments about PS_2

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an almost disjoint family, that is, $|A_\xi|$ is infinite for every ξ and $|A_\xi \cap A_{\xi'}|$ is finite whenever $\xi \neq \xi'$.
For every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ we decompose $A_\xi \times \{0,1\} = \widehat{A_\xi} = B_\xi^0 \biguplus B_\xi^1$ in the following way



We define:

$$\mathrm{JL}(\mathcal{B}) = \overline{\mathrm{span}}\Big(\{\mathbf{1}_{B_\xi^0},\ \mathbf{1}_{B_\xi^1} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup c_{00}(\widehat{\mathbb{N}}) \cup \{\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\mathbb{N}}}\}\Big) \subset \ell_\infty,$$

$$\mathrm{JL}(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{\mathrm{span}}\Big(\{\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{A_\xi}} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \widehat{c_{00}(\mathbb{N})} \cup \{\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{N}}}\}\Big) \subset \ell_\infty.$$

These spaces can be identified with $C(K)$-spaces, with K scattered.

Some comments about PS$_2$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an almost disjoint family, that is, $|A_\xi|$ is infinite for every ξ and $|A_\xi \cap A_{\xi'}|$ is finite whenever $\xi \neq \xi'$.

For every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ we decompose $A_\xi \times \{0,1\} = \widehat{A_\xi} = B_\xi^0 \biguplus B_\xi^1$ in the following way

$\widehat{A_\xi}$



We define:

$$\mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{B}) = \overline{\mathsf{span}}\Big(\{\mathbf{1}_{B_\xi^0}, \mathbf{1}_{B_\xi^1} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup c_{00}(\widehat{\mathbb{N}}) \cup \{\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\mathbb{N}}}\}\Big) \subset \ell_\infty,$$

$$\mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{\mathsf{span}}\Big(\{\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{A_\xi}} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \widehat{c_{00}(\mathbb{N})} \cup \{\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{N}}}\}\Big) \subset \ell_\infty.$$

These spaces can be identified with $C(K)$-spaces, with K scattered.

Moreover, we can define a norm-one projection

$$P : \mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{A})$$

$$f \longmapsto Pf(n,0) = Pf(n,1) = \frac{f(n,0) + f(n,1)}{2}$$

We define $X := \mathrm{Ker}(P)$, which is complemented in $\mathrm{JL}(\mathcal{B})$ by $Q = \mathrm{Id} - P$.

We define $X := \mathsf{Ker}(P)$, which is complemented in $\mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{B})$ by $Q = \mathsf{Id} - P$. Since for every $f \in \mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{B})$

$$Qf(n,0) = -Qf(n,1) = \frac{f(n,0) - f(n,1)}{2},$$

then $\|Q\| = 1$.

We define $X := \mathrm{Ker}(P)$, which is complemented in $\mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{B})$ by $Q = \mathrm{Id} - P$. Since for every $f \in \mathsf{JL}(\mathcal{B})$

$$Qf(n,0) = -Qf(n,1) = \frac{f(n,0) - f(n,1)}{2},$$

then $\|Q\| = 1$.

G. Plebanek and A. Salguero Alarcón show, through an inductive process of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$, that there exist almost disjoint families $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ such that X is not isomorphic to a $C(K)$-space. This X was christened PS_2.

A simplification of our problem

Since $\mathrm{PS}_2 \subset \ell_\infty$, then it has a countable norming set.

A simplification of our problem

Since $\mathsf{PS}_2 \subset \ell_\infty$, then it has a countable norming set.

Moreover, PS_2 is 1-complemented in $C(K)$-space, with K scattered compact, so it is an isometric predual of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$.

A simplification of our problem

Since $\mathsf{PS}_2 \subset \ell_\infty$, then it has a countable norming set.

Moreover, PS_2 is 1-complemented in $C(K)$-space, with K scattered compact, so it is an isometric predual of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$.

Consequently, the following statements are equivalent:

1. PS_2 is isomorphic to a Banach lattice.

A simplification of our problem

Since $\mathrm{PS}_2 \subset \ell_\infty$, then it has a countable norming set.

Moreover, PS_2 is 1-complemented in $C(K)$-space, with K scattered compact, so it is an isometric predual of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$.

Consequently, the following statements are equivalent:

1. PS_2 is isomorphic to a Banach lattice.
2. PS_2 is isomorphic to a sublattice of ℓ_∞.

A simplification of our problem

Since $\mathsf{PS}_2 \subset \ell_\infty$, then it has a countable norming set.

Moreover, PS_2 is 1-complemented in $C(K)$-space, with K scattered compact, so it is an isometric predual of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$.

Consequently, the following statements are equivalent:

1. PS_2 is isomorphic to a Banach lattice.
2. PS_2 is isomorphic to a sublattice of ℓ_∞.
3. There exists a norming sequence $(x_n^*)_{n=0}^\infty$ in $B_{\mathsf{PS}_2^*}$ such that for every $f \in \mathsf{PS}_2$ there is an element $g \in \mathsf{PS}_2$ such that

$$x_n^*(g) = |x_n^*(f)|, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Table of Contents

Concluding remarks

CSP in **complex** Banach lattices: Is every complemented subspace of a complex Banach lattice isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice?

Recall that a complex Banach lattice is the complexification of a real Banach lattice $X \oplus iX$ equipped with the norm $\|x+iy\| := \||x+iy|\|_X$, where

$$|x+iy| = \sup_{\theta\in[0,2\pi]} \{x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta\}, \qquad \text{for every } x, y \in X.$$

Concluding remarks

CSP in **complex** Banach lattices: Is every complemented subspace of a complex Banach lattice isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice?

Recall that a complex Banach lattice is the complexification of a real Banach lattice $X \oplus iX$ equipped with the norm $\|x+iy\| := \||x+iy|\|_X$, where

$$|x+iy| = \sup_{\theta\in[0,2\pi]} \{x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta\}, \qquad \text{for every } x, y \in X.$$

Concluding remarks

CSP in **complex** Banach lattices: Is every complemented subspace of a complex Banach lattice isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice?

Recall that a complex Banach lattice is the complexification of a real Banach lattice $X \oplus iX$ equipped with the norm $\|x+iy\| := \||x+iy|\|_X$, where

$$|x+iy| = \sup_{\theta\in[0,2\pi]} \{x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta\}, \qquad \text{for every } x, y \in X.$$

- With slight modifications, it is possible to construct a $\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2}$ space such that $\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2} \oplus i\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2}$ is not isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice.

Concluding remarks

CSP in **complex** Banach lattices: Is every complemented subspace of a complex Banach lattice isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice?

Recall that a complex Banach lattice is the complexification of a real Banach lattice $X \oplus iX$ equipped with the norm $\|x+iy\| := \||x+iy|\|_X$, where

$$|x+iy| = \sup_{\theta\in[0,2\pi]} \{x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta\}, \qquad \text{for every } x,y\in X.$$

- With slight modifications, it is possible to construct a $\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2}$ space such that $\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2} \oplus i\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2}$ is not isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice.

Concluding remarks

CSP in **complex** Banach lattices: Is every complemented subspace of a complex Banach lattice isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice?

Recall that a complex Banach lattice is the complexification of a real Banach lattice $X \oplus iX$ equipped with the norm $\|x + iy\| := \| |x + iy| \|_X$, where

$$|x + iy| = \sup_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} \{x \cos\theta + y \sin\theta\}, \qquad \text{for every } x, y \in X.$$

- With slight modifications, it is possible to construct a $\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2}$ space such that $\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2} \oplus i\widetilde{\mathsf{PS}_2}$ is not isomorphic to a complex Banach lattice.
- The separable case of the CSP is still open!

Thank you!