

Nuclear operators and operator-valued Borel measures

Juliusz Stochmal

Kazimierz Wielki University in Bydgoszcz, POLAND

j.stochmal@ukw.edu.pl

POSI+IVITY XI, Ljubljana, Slovenia
July 10-14, 2023

1 Introduction

2 The results

- Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$
- Nuclearity of conjugate operator

3 References

Nuclear operators

- The notation of nuclearity was first introduced by Ruston and Grothendieck. The term *nuclear* has the origin in Schwartz's kernel theorem.
- For X being a compact Hausdorff space, nuclear operators $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ were studied by L. Schwartz [S] and Tong [T]. In particular, *the Bochner-type representation* of nuclear operators was derived.

Nuclear operators $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ are uniquely determined by their Bochner-type representation $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \varphi_k \otimes \psi_k$ where λ_k are real valued measures on X and φ_k, ψ_k are elements of $C(X)$ such that

$$Tf = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_X \varphi_k(x) f(x) dx \psi_k(x)$$



[S] Schwartz, L., *Séminaire Schwartz*, Exposé 13, Université de Paris, (1953/54).



[T] Tong, A.E., *Nuclear mappings on $C(X)$* , Math. Ann., 194 (1971), 213–224.

Nuclear operators

- The notation of nuclearity was first introduced by Ruston and Grothendieck. The term *nuclear* has the origin in Schwartz's kernel theorem.
- For X being a compact Hausdorff space, nuclear operators $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ were studied by L. Schwartz [S] and Tong [T]. In particular, *the Bochner-type representation* of nuclear operators was derived.

Theorem [T] Proposition 1.2

A bounded operator $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ is nuclear if and only if it has a *Bochner kernel*, i.e., there exist a finite real valued measure μ on X and a Bochner integrable function $f : X \rightarrow F$ such that

$$T(u) = \int_X u(t)f(t) d\mu \quad \text{for } u \in C(X).$$



[S] Schwartz, L., *Séminaire Schwartz*, Exposé 13, Université de Paris, (1953/54).



[T] Tong, A.E., *Nuclear mappings on $C(X)$* , Math. Ann., 194 (1971), 213–224.

Nuclear operators

- The notation of nuclearity was first introduced by Ruston and Grothendieck. The term *nuclear* has the origin in Schwartz's kernel theorem.
- For X being a compact Hausdorff space, nuclear operators $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ were studied by L. Schwartz [S] and Tong [T]. In particular, *the Bochner-type representation* of nuclear operators was derived.

Theorem [T] Proposition 1.2

A bounded operator $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ is nuclear if and only if it has a *Bochner kernel*, i.e., there exist a finite real valued measure μ on X and a Bochner integrable function $f : X \rightarrow F$ such that

$$T(u) = \int_X u(t)f(t) d\mu \quad \text{for } u \in C(X).$$



[S] Schwartz, L., *Séminaire Schwartz*, Exposé 13, Université de Paris, (1953/54).



[T] Tong, A.E., *Nuclear mappings on $C(X)$* , Math. Ann., 194 (1971), 213–224.

Nuclear operators

- The notation of nuclearity was first introduced by Ruston and Grothendieck. The term *nuclear* has the origin in Schwartz's kernel theorem.
- For X being a compact Hausdorff space, nuclear operators $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ were studied by L. Schwartz [S] and Tong [T]. In particular, *the Bochner-type representation* of nuclear operators was derived.

Theorem [T, Proposition 1.2]

A bounded operator $T : C(X) \rightarrow F$ is nuclear if and only if it has a *Bochner kernel*, i.e., there exist a finite real valued measure μ on X and a Bochner integrable function $f : X \rightarrow F$ such that

$$T(u) = \int_X u(t)f(t) d\mu \quad \text{for } u \in C(X).$$






[S] Schwartz, L., *Séminaire Schwartz*, Exposé 13, Université de Paris, (1953/54).



[T] Tong, A.E., *Nuclear mappings on $C(X)$* , Math. Ann., 194 (1971), 213–224.


Nuclear operators

- The study of nuclear operators $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ was initiated by Alexander [A], where the result of Schwartz was extended in case E' has the Radon–Nikodym Property.
- In [Po] and [SS] the study of nuclear operators $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ was continued in context of properties of representing measure m , associated continuous operator $T^\# : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and Radon–Nikodym Property of E' .


-  [A] Alexander, G., *Linear operators on the space of vector-valued continuous functions*, Ph.D. thesis, New Mexico State university, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 1976.
-  [Po] Popa, D., *Nuclear operators on $C(T, X)$* , Stud. Cerc. Mat., 42 no. 1 (1990), 47–50.
-  [SS] Saab, P., B. Smith, *Nuclear operators on spaces of continuous vector-valued functions*, Glasgow Math. J., 33 no. 2 (1994), 223–230.

Nuclear operators

- The study of nuclear operators $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ was initiated by Alexander [A], where the result of Schwartz was extended in case E' has the Radon–Nikodym Property.
- In [Po] and [SS] the study of nuclear operators $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ was continued in context of properties of representing measure m , associated continuous operator $T^\# : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and Radon–Nikodym Property of E' .

 [A] Alexander, G., *Linear operators on the space of vector-valued continuous functions*, Ph.D. thesis, New Mexico State university, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 1976.

 [Po] Popa, D., *Nuclear operators on $C(T, X)$* , Stud. Cerc. Mat., 42 no. 1 (1990), 47–50.

 [SS] Saab, P., B. Smith, *Nuclear operators on spaces of continuous vector-valued functions*, Glasgow Math. J., 33 no. 2 (1994), 223–230.

Nuclear operators

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ and $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ be Banach spaces. Let E' and F' denote the Banach duals of E and F , respectively. By $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ we denote the space of all bounded linear operators from E to F , equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of the uniform operator topology.

An operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is **nuclear** if there exist sequences (x'_n) in E' and (y_n) in F such that

$$U(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_n(x)y_n \text{ for } x \in E,$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x'_n\|_{E'} \|y_n\|_F < \infty$.

Nuclear operators

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ and $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ be Banach spaces. Let E' and F' denote the Banach duals of E and F , respectively. By $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ we denote the space of all bounded linear operators from E to F , equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of the uniform operator topology.

An operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is **nuclear** if there exist sequences (x'_n) in E' and (y_n) in F such that

$$U(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_n(x)y_n \quad \text{for } x \in E,$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x'_n\|_{E'} \|y_n\|_F < \infty$.

Nuclear operators

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ and $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ be Banach spaces. Let E' and F' denote the Banach duals of E and F , respectively. By $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ we denote the space of all bounded linear operators from E to F , equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of the uniform operator topology.

An operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is **nuclear** if there exist sequences (x'_n) in E' and (y_n) in F such that

$$U(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_n(x)y_n \text{ for } x \in E,$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x'_n\|_{E'} \|y_n\|_F < \infty$.

The **nuclear norm** of a nuclear operator $U : E \rightarrow F$ is defined by

$$\|U\|_{nuc} := \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x'_n\|_{E'} \cdot \|y_n\|_F,$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (x'_n) in E' and (y_n) in F such that $U(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_n(x)y_n$ for $x \in E$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x'_n\|_{E'} \|y_n\|_F < \infty$.

The space $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ of all nuclear operators $U : E \rightarrow F$, equipped with the nuclear norm $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ is a Banach space (see [P, Proposition, p. 51]).



The **nuclear norm** of a nuclear operator $U : E \rightarrow F$ is defined by

$$\|U\|_{nuc} := \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x'_n\|_{E'} \cdot \|y_n\|_F,$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (x'_n) in E' and (y_n) in F such that $U(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_n(x)y_n$ for $x \in E$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x'_n\|_{E'} \|y_n\|_F < \infty$.

The space $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ of all nuclear operators $U : E \rightarrow F$, equipped with the nuclear norm $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ is a Banach space (see [P, Proposition, p. 51]).



Strict topology β

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Let $C_b(X, E)$ denote the space of all E -valued bounded continuous functions defined on X .

Definition 1.1. [B], [F]

The strict topology β on $C_b(X, E)$ is generated by the family of the seminorms:

$$p_v(f) := \sup_{t \in X} v(t) \|f(t)\|_E \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E),$$

where $v : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a bounded function such that the set $\{t \in X : v(t) \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact for every $\varepsilon > 0$.



[B] Buck, R.C., *Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space*, Michigan Math. J., 5 (1958), 95–104.



[F] Fontenot, D., *Strict topologies for vector-valued functions*, Canad. J. Math., 26 no. 4 (1974), 841–853.

Strict topology β

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Let $C_b(X, E)$ denote the space of all E -valued bounded continuous functions defined on X .

Definition 1.1. [B], [F]

The strict topology β on $C_b(X, E)$ is generated by the family of the seminorms:

$$p_v(f) := \sup_{t \in X} v(t) \|f(t)\|_E \quad \text{for } f \in C_b(X, E),$$

where $v : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a bounded function such that the set $\{t \in X : v(t) \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact for every $\varepsilon > 0$.



[B] Buck, R.C., *Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space*, Michigan Math. J., 5 (1958), 95–104.



[F] Fontenot, D., *Strict topologies for vector-valued functions*, Canad. J. Math., 26 no. 4 (1974), 841–853.

Theorem 1.1. [K]

- $\tau_c \subset \beta \subset \tau_u$ and if X is a compact Hausdorff space, then $\beta = \tau_u$.
- β and τ_u have the same bounded subsets.
- β is the finest locally convex Hausdorff topology agreeing with topology τ_c on τ_u -bounded subsets of $C_b(X, E)$.



[K] Khan, L.A., *The strict topology on a space of vector-valued functions*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 22 no. 1 (1979), 35–41.

Basic concepts of measure theory

By \mathcal{B}_0 we denote the σ -algebra of Borel sets in X .

Let $M(X)$ denote the space of all countably additive regular scalar Borel measures.

The variation $|\mu|$ of the measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow E$ on $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is defined by:

$$|\mu|(A) := \sup \sum \|\mu(A_i)\|_E,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite \mathcal{B}_0 -partitions (A_i) of A (see [DU, Definition 4, p. 2]).

Let $M(X, E')$ denote the space of all countably additive measures $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow E'$ of bounded variation ($|\mu|(X) < \infty$) and such that for each $x \in E$, $\mu_x \in M(X)$, where $\mu_x(A) := \mu(A)(x)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.



[DU] Diestel, J. and J.J. Uhl, Vector Measures, Amer. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys 15, Providence, RI, 1977.

Basic concepts of measure theory

By \mathcal{B}_0 we denote the σ -algebra of Borel sets in X .

Let $M(X)$ denote the space of all countably additive regular scalar Borel measures.

The variation $|\mu|$ of the measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow E$ on $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is defined by:

$$|\mu|(A) := \sup \sum \|\mu(A_i)\|_E,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite \mathcal{B}_0 -partitions (A_i) of A (see [DU, Definition 4, p. 2]).

Let $M(X, E')$ denote the space of all countably additive measures $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow E'$ of bounded variation ($|\mu|(X) < \infty$) and such that for each $x \in E$, $\mu_x \in M(X)$, where $\mu_x(A) := \mu(A)(x)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.



[DU] Diestel, J. and J.J. Uhl, Vector Measures, Amer. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys 15, Providence, RI, 1977.

Basic concepts of measure theory

By \mathcal{B}_0 we denote the σ -algebra of Borel sets in X .

Let $M(X)$ denote the space of all countably additive regular scalar Borel measures.

The variation $|\mu|$ of the measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow E$ on $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is defined by:

$$|\mu|(A) := \sup \sum \|\mu(A_i)\|_E,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite \mathcal{B}_0 -partitions (A_i) of A (see [DU, Definition 4, p. 2]).

Let $M(X, E')$ denote the space of all countably additive measures $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow E'$ of bounded variation ($|\mu|(X) < \infty$) and such that for each $x \in E$, $\mu_x \in M(X)$, where $\mu_x(A) := \mu(A)(x)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.



[DU] Diestel, J. and J.J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Amer. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys 15, Providence, RI, 1977.

The semivariation \tilde{m} of $m : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ on $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is defined by

$$\tilde{m}(A) := \sup \left\| \sum m(A_i)(x_i) \right\|_F,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite \mathcal{B}_0 -partitions (A_i) of A and x_i is from the unit ball B_E in E for each i (see [DU, Definition 4, p. 2]).

By $M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ we denote the space of all measures $m : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that $\tilde{m}(X) < \infty$ and for each $y' \in F'$, $m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$, where

$$m_{y'}(A)(x) := y'(m(A)(x)) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0, x \in E.$$

The semivariation \tilde{m} of $m : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ on $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is defined by

$$\tilde{m}(A) := \sup \left\| \sum m(A_i)(x_i) \right\|_F,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite \mathcal{B}_0 -partitions (A_i) of A and x_i is from the unit ball B_E in E for each i (see [DU, Definition 4, p. 2]).

By $M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ we denote the space of all measures $m : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that $\tilde{m}(X) < \infty$ and for each $y' \in F'$, $m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$, where

$$m_{y'}(A)(x) := y'(m(A)(x)) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0, x \in E.$$

Definition 1.2. [F], [Go], [N, Definition 2.2])

Let $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$. We say that $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is **m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense** over $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ provided there exists $y_A \in F$ such that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite \mathcal{B}_0 -partition \mathcal{P}_ε of A such that

$$\left\| y_A - \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i)(f(t_i)) \right\|_F \leq \varepsilon$$

if $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is any \mathcal{B}_0 -partition of A refining \mathcal{P}_ε and $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ is any choice of points of A such that $t_i \in A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Then $\int_A f(t) dm := y_A$ will be called a **Riemann–Stieltjes integral** of f with respect to m over $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.



[Go] Goodrich, R.K., *A Riesz representation theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 24 (1970), 629–636.



[N] Nowak, M., *A Riesz representation theory for completely regular Hausdorff spaces and its applications*, Open Math., 14 (2016), 474–496.

Riesz representation theorem for functionals

Theorem 1.2. [Gi], [N, Theorem 2.4]

For a linear functional $\Phi : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) Φ is β -continuous.
- (ii) There exists a unique $\mu \in M(X, E')$ such that

$$\Phi(f) = \Phi_\mu(f) = \int_X f(t) d\mu \text{ for all } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Moreover, $\|\Phi_\mu\| = |\mu|(X)$.

By $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$ we will denote the topological dual of $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$. Hence $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$ can be identified with $M(X, E')$.



Riesz representation theorem for functionals

Theorem 1.2. [Gi], [N, Theorem 2.4]

For a linear functional $\Phi : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) Φ is β -continuous.
- (ii) There exists a unique $\mu \in M(X, E')$ such that

$$\Phi(f) = \Phi_\mu(f) = \int_X f(t) d\mu \text{ for all } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Moreover, $\|\Phi_\mu\| = |\mu|(X)$.

By $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$ we will denote the topological dual of $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$. Hence $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$ can be identified with $M(X, E')$.



Riesz representation theorem for functionals

Theorem 1.2. [Gi], [N, Theorem 2.4]

For a linear functional $\Phi : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) Φ is β -continuous.
- (ii) There exists a unique $\mu \in M(X, E')$ such that

$$\Phi(f) = \Phi_\mu(f) = \int_X f(t) d\mu \text{ for all } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Moreover, $\|\Phi_\mu\| = |\mu|(X)$.

By $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$ we will denote the topological dual of $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$. Hence $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$ can be identified with $M(X, E')$.



Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}O \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}O \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Riesz representation theorem for operators

Let $i_F : F \rightarrow F''$ denote the canonical embedding, i.e., $i_F(y)(y') = y'(y)$ for $y \in F$, $y' \in F'$. Moreover, let $j_F : i_F(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of i_F .

Theorem 1.3. [N, Theorem 3.2]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique measure $m : \mathcal{B}o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ (called the *representing measure of T*) such that the following statements hold:

- (i) m is tight, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\tilde{m}(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) The mapping $F' \ni y' \mapsto m_{y'} \in M(X, E')$ is $(\sigma(F', F), \sigma(M(X, E'), C_b(X, E)))$ -continuous.
- (iii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over X .
- (iv) For $f \in C_b(X, E)$, $\int_X f dm \in i_F(F)$ and $T(f) = j_F(\int_X f dm)$.
- (v) For each $y' \in F'$, $y'(T(f)) = \int_X f(t) dm_{y'}$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m : \mathcal{B}o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F'')$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Then there exists a unique $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (iii), (iv) and (v) hold. Moreover: $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(X)$.

Strongly bounded operators

A $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is said to be **strongly bounded** if its representing measure m has the strongly bounded semivariation \tilde{m} , i.e., $\tilde{m}(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever (A_n) is a pairwise disjoint sequence in \mathcal{B}_0 .

Note that if a linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -weakly compact, then T is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous and strongly bounded (see [N, Theorem 6.2]).

It is known that if a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is strongly bounded and m is its representing measure, then $m(A)(x) \in i_F(F)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $x \in E$ (see [N, §4]). Then one can define a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ by

$$m_F(A)(x) := j_F(m(A)(x)) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0, x \in E.$$

Strongly bounded operators

A $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is said to be **strongly bounded** if its representing measure m has the strongly bounded semivariation \tilde{m} , i.e., $\tilde{m}(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever (A_n) is a pairwise disjoint sequence in \mathcal{B}_0 .

Note that if a linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -weakly compact, then T is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous and strongly bounded (see [N, Theorem 6.2]).

It is known that if a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is strongly bounded and m is its representing measure, then $m(A)(x) \in i_F(F)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $x \in E$ (see [N, §4]). Then one can define a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ by

$$m_F(A)(x) := j_F(m(A)(x)) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0, x \in E.$$

Strongly bounded operators

A $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is said to be **strongly bounded** if its representing measure m has the strongly bounded semivariation \tilde{m} , i.e., $\tilde{m}(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever (A_n) is a pairwise disjoint sequence in \mathcal{B}_0 .

Note that if a linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -weakly compact, then T is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous and strongly bounded (see [N, Theorem 6.2]).

It is known that if a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is strongly bounded and m is its representing measure, then $m(A)(x) \in i_F(F)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $x \in E$ (see [N, §4]). Then one can define a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ by

$$m_F(A)(x) := j_F(m(A)(x)) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0, x \in E.$$

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a **k -space** if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widetilde{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (iii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a **k -space** if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widetilde{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (i) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (ii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a k -**space** if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widehat{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (iii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a **k -space** if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widetilde{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (ii) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (iii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a k -space if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widetilde{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (i) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (ii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a k -**space** if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widetilde{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (i) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (ii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a k -**space** if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widetilde{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (i) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (ii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Strongly bounded operators

A completely regular Hausdorff space X is said to be a k -space if each set which meets every compact subset in a closed set must be closed.

From now on we will assume that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a k -space.

Theorem 1.4. [N, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) m_F is regular, i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a compact set K and an open set O such that $K \subset A \subset O$ and $\widetilde{m}_F(O \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (i) Every $f \in C_b(X, E)$ is m_F -integrable in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense over all $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (ii) $T(f) = \int_X f(t) dm_F$ for $f \in C_b(X, E)$.

Conversely, assume that a measure $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the condition (i). Then there exists a unique strongly bounded operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ such that (ii) and (iii) hold.

Table of contents

1 Introduction

2 The results

- Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$
- Nuclearity of conjugate operator

3 References

Based on the paper:



[NS] Nowak, M., J. Stochmal, *Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$ and the strict topology*, Math. Slovaca, 68 no. 1 (2018), 135–146.



[St] Stochmal, J., *A characterization of nuclear operators on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions with the strict topology*, submitted.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Definition 2.1. [Sch, Chap. 3, §7, 7.1]

A linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is said to be **nuclear** between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and Banach space $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ if there exist a β -equicontinuous sequence (Φ_n) in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$, a bounded sequence (y_n) in F and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \Phi_n(f) y_n \quad \text{for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Then T is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -compact (see [Sch, Chap. 3, §7, Corollary 1]).
Moreover, let us put

$$\|T\|_{\beta\text{-nuc}} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \|\Phi_n\| \|y_n\|_F \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (Φ_n) in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$, (y_n) in F and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that T admits a representation (2.1).



[Sch] Schaeffer, H.H., Topological Vector Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Definition 2.1. [Sch, Chap. 3, §7, 7.1]

A linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is said to be **nuclear** between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and Banach space $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ if there exist a β -equicontinuous sequence (Φ_n) in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$, a bounded sequence (y_n) in F and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \Phi_n(f) y_n \quad \text{for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Then T is $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -compact (see [Sch, Chap. 3, §7, Corollary 1]).
Moreover, let us put

$$\|T\|_{\beta\text{-nuc}} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \|\Phi_n\| \|y_n\|_F \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (Φ_n) in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$, (y_n) in F and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that T admits a representation (2.1).



[Sch] Schaeffer, H.H., *Topological Vector Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Theorem 2.1. [KhC, Lemma 2]

For a subset \mathcal{M} of $M(X, E')$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\{\Phi_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a β -equicontinuous subset of $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$.
- (ii) $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} |\mu|(X) < \infty$ and \mathcal{M} is uniformly tight, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset K of X such that $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} |\mu|(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.

Proposition 2.2.

A linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is nuclear if and only if there exist a bounded and uniformly tight sequence (μ_n) in $M(X, E')$, a bounded sequence (y_n) in F and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that

$$T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\int_X f(t) d\mu_n \right) y_n \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$



[KC] Khurana, S.S., S.A. Choo, *Strict topology and P -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1976), 280–284.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Theorem 2.1. [KhC, Lemma 2]

For a subset \mathcal{M} of $M(X, E')$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\{\Phi_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a β -equicontinuous subset of $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$.
- (ii) $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} |\mu|(X) < \infty$ and \mathcal{M} is uniformly tight, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset K of X such that $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} |\mu|(X \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$.

Proposition 2.2.

A linear operator $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is nuclear if and only if there exist a bounded and uniformly tight sequence (μ_n) in $M(X, E')$, a bounded sequence (y_n) in F and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that

$$T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\int_X f(t) d\mu_n \right) y_n \quad \text{for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$



[KC] Khurana, S.S., S.A. Choo, *Strict topology and P-spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1976), 280–284.

Theorem 2.3. [NS, Theorem 3.1]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a nuclear operator and m is its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) For each $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (ii) $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ -countably additive.
- (iii) $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$, where $|m_F|_{nuc}$ stands for the variation of m_F with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (iv) If E' has the Radon–Nikodym Property, then there exists a function $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Theorem 2.3. [NS, Theorem 3.1]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a nuclear operator and m is its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) For each $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (ii) $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ -countably additive.
- (iii) $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$, where $|m_F|_{nuc}$ stands for the variation of m_F with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (iv) If E' has the Radon–Nikodym Property, then there exists a function $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Theorem 2.3. [NS, Theorem 3.1]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a nuclear operator and m is its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) For each $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (ii) $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ -countably additive.
- (iii) $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$, where $|m_F|_{nuc}$ stands for the variation of m_F with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (iv) If E' has the Radon–Nikodym Property, then there exists a function $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Theorem 2.3. [NS, Theorem 3.1]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a nuclear operator and m is its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) For each $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (ii) $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ -countably additive.
- (iii) $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$, where $|m_F|_{nuc}$ stands for the variation of m_F with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (iv) If E' has the Radon–Nikodym Property, then there exists a function $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Theorem 2.3. [NS, Theorem 3.1]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a nuclear operator and m is its representing measure. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) For each $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (ii) $m_F : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ -countably additive.
- (iii) $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$, where $|m_F|_{nuc}$ stands for the variation of m_F with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{nuc}$ in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$.
- (iv) If E' has the Radon–Nikodym Property, then there exists a function $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Theorem 2.4. [St]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator. If

- $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$,
- $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$,
- there exists $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0,$$

then T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F and $\|T\|_{\beta-nuc} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Theorem 2.4. [St]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator. If

- $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$,
- $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$,
- there exists $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0,$$

then T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F and $\|T\|_{\beta-nuc} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Theorem 2.4. [St]

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator. If

- $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$,
- $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$,
- there exists $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0,$$

then T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F and $\|T\|_{\beta\text{-nuc}} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Sketch of proof.

Step 1. Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, Theorem 1.4 and [N, Theorem 5.2], we get that

$$T(f) = \int_X H(t)(f(t)) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Step 2. Let $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ denote the projective tensor product of the Banach spaces $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$, equipped with the completed norm π (see [DU, p. 227]).

Note that for $W \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ we have

$$\pi(W) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \|v_n\|_1 \|U_n\|_{nuc} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (v_n) in $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and (U_n) in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ with $\lim_n \|v_n\|_1 = 0 = \lim_n \|U_n\|_{nuc}$ and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that $W = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (v_n \otimes U_n)$ is in the π -norm (see [R, Proposition 2.8, pp. 21–22]).



[R] Ryan, R., Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces, Springer Monographs in Math., 2002.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Sketch of proof.

Step 1. Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, Theorem 1.4 and [N, Theorem 5.2], we get that

$$T(f) = \int_X H(t)(f(t)) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Step 2. Let $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ denote the projective tensor product of the Banach spaces $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$, equipped with the completed norm π (see [DU, p. 227]).

Note that for $W \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ we have

$$\pi(W) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \|v_n\|_1 \|U_n\|_{nuc} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (v_n) in $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and (U_n) in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ with $\lim_n \|v_n\|_1 = 0 = \lim_n \|U_n\|_{nuc}$ and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that $W = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (v_n \otimes U_n)$ is in the π -norm (see [R, Proposition 2.8, pp. 21–22]).



[R] Ryan, R., Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces, Springer Monographs in Math., 2002.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Sketch of proof.

Step 1. Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, Theorem 1.4 and [N, Theorem 5.2], we get that

$$T(f) = \int_X H(t)(f(t)) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Step 2. Let $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ denote the projective tensor product of the Banach spaces $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$, equipped with the completed norm π (see [DU, p. 227]).

Note that for $W \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ we have

$$\pi(W) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \|v_n\|_1 \|U_n\|_{nuc} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (v_n) in $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and (U_n) in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ with $\lim_n \|v_n\|_1 = 0 = \lim_n \|U_n\|_{nuc}$ and $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^1$ such that $W = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (v_n \otimes U_n)$ is in the π -norm (see [R, Proposition 2.8, pp. 21–22]).



[R] Ryan, R., Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces, Springer Monographs in Math., 2002.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

It is known that $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space $(L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)), \|\cdot\|_1)$ throughout the isometry J , where

$$J(v \otimes U) := v(\cdot)U \text{ for } v \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}), U \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$$

(see [DU, Example 10, p. 228], [R, Example 2.19, p. 29]).

Then there exist (v_n) in $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and (U_n) in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ with $\lim_n \|v_n\|_1 = 0 = \lim_n \|U_n\|_{nuc}$ and $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^1$ so that

$$J^{-1}(H) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F).$$

Hence we have

$$H = J \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n(\cdot) U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)).$$

Therefore

$$T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_X v_n(t) U_n(f(t)) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

It is known that $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space $(L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)), \|\cdot\|_1)$ throughout the isometry J , where

$$J(v \otimes U) := v(\cdot)U \text{ for } v \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}), U \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$$

(see [DU, Example 10, p. 228], [R, Example 2.19, p. 29]).

Then there exist (v_n) in $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and (U_n) in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ with $\lim_n \|v_n\|_1 = 0 = \lim_n \|U_n\|_{nuc}$ and $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^1$ so that

$$J^{-1}(H) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F).$$

Hence we have

$$H = J \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n(\cdot) U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)).$$

Therefore

$$T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_X v_n(t) U_n(f(t)) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

It is known that $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space $(L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)), \|\cdot\|_1)$ throughout the isometry J , where

$$J(v \otimes U) := v(\cdot)U \text{ for } v \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}), U \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$$

(see [DU, Example 10, p. 228], [R, Example 2.19, p. 29]).

Then there exist (v_n) in $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and (U_n) in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ with $\lim_n \|v_n\|_1 = 0 = \lim_n \|U_n\|_{nuc}$ and $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^1$ so that

$$J^{-1}(H) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F).$$

Hence we have

$$H = J\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n(\cdot)U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)).$$

Therefore

$$T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_X v_n(t) U_n(f(t)) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

It is known that $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space $(L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)), \|\cdot\|_1)$ throughout the isometry J , where

$$J(v \otimes U) := v(\cdot)U \text{ for } v \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}), U \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$$

(see [DU, Example 10, p. 228], [R, Example 2.19, p. 29]).

Then there exist (v_n) in $L^1(|m_F|_{nuc})$ and (U_n) in $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$ with $\lim_n \|v_n\|_1 = 0 = \lim_n \|U_n\|_{nuc}$ and $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^1$ so that

$$J^{-1}(H) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{N}(E, F).$$

Hence we have

$$H = J\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n \otimes U_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n v_n(\cdot)U_n \text{ in } L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F)).$$

Therefore

$$T(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_X v_n(t) U_n(f(t)) d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose sequences $(x'_{n,k})$ in E' and $(y_{n,k})$ in F so that

$$U_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_{n,k}(x) y_{n,k} \text{ for } x \in E.$$

Then for every $f \in C_b(X, E)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_X \left(v_n(t) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_{n,k}(f(t)) y_{n,k} \right) d|m_F|_{nuc} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'} \|y_{n,k}\|_F \left(\int_X v_n(t) \frac{x'_{n,k}(f(t))}{\|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'}} d|m_F|_{nuc} \right) \frac{y_{n,k}}{\|y_{n,k}\|_F}. \end{aligned}$$

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose sequences $(x'_{n,k})$ in E' and $(y_{n,k})$ in F so that

$$U_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_{n,k}(x) y_{n,k} \text{ for } x \in E.$$

Then for every $f \in C_b(X, E)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_X \left(v_n(t) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_{n,k}(f(t)) y_{n,k} \right) d|m_F|_{nuc} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'} \|y_{n,k}\|_F \left(\int_X v_n(t) \frac{x'_{n,k}(f(t))}{\|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'}} d|m_F|_{nuc} \right) \frac{y_{n,k}}{\|y_{n,k}\|_F}. \end{aligned}$$

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Step 3. For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\Phi_{n,k}(f) := \int_X v_n(t) \frac{x'_{n,k}(f(t))}{\|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'}} d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Now, we should show that $(\Phi_{n,k})$ is β -equicontinuous sequence in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$. In view of [F, Theorem 3.2] it is enough to prove that $(\Phi_{n,k}|_{B_1})$ is τ_c -equicontinuous sequence at 0, where $B_1 = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

Step 4. To complete the proof we need to show $\|T\|_{\beta-nuc} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Step 3. For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\Phi_{n,k}(f) := \int_X v_n(t) \frac{x'_{n,k}(f(t))}{\|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'}} d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Now, we should show that $(\Phi_{n,k})$ is β -equicontinuous sequence in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$. In view of [F, Theorem 3.2] it is enough to prove that $(\Phi_{n,k}|_{B_1})$ is τ_c -equicontinuous sequence at 0, where $B_1 = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

Step 4. To complete the proof we need to show $\|T\|_{\beta-nuc} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Step 3. For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\Phi_{n,k}(f) := \int_X v_n(t) \frac{x'_{n,k}(f(t))}{\|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'}} d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Now, we should show that $(\Phi_{n,k})$ is β -equicontinuous sequence in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$. In view of [F, Theorem 3.2] it is enough to prove that $(\Phi_{n,k}|_{B_1})$ is τ_c -equicontinuous sequence at 0, where $B_1 = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

Step 4. To complete the proof we need to show $\|T\|_{\beta-nuc} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$

Step 3. For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\Phi_{n,k}(f) := \int_X v_n(t) \frac{x'_{n,k}(f(t))}{\|x'_{n,k}\|_{E'}} d|m_F|_{nuc} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Now, we should show that $(\Phi_{n,k})$ is β -equicontinuous sequence in $C_b(X, E)'_\beta$. In view of [F, Theorem 3.2] it is enough to prove that $(\Phi_{n,k}|_{B_1})$ is τ_c -equicontinuous sequence at 0, where $B_1 = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

Step 4. To complete the proof we need to show $\|T\|_{\beta-nuc} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Corollary 2.5. [St]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous strongly bounded linear operator and E' has the Radon–Nikodym property. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F .
- (ii) $m_F(A) \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $|m_F|_{nuc} \in M^+(X)$ and there exists $H \in L^1(|m_F|_{nuc}, \mathcal{N}(E, F))$ such that

$$m_F(A) = \int_A H(t) d|m_F|_{nuc} \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

In this case: $\|T\|_{\beta-nuc} = |m_F|_{nuc}(X)$.

Nuclearity of conjugate operator

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then its conjugate operator $T' : F' \rightarrow M(X, E')$ is given by

$$T'(y') := m_{y'} \quad \text{for } y' \in F'.$$

Corollary 2.6. [St]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. If E' has the Radon–Nikodym property and F is reflexive, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F .
- (ii) T' is nuclear.

In this case: $\|T'\|_{nuc} = \|T\|_{\beta\text{-}nuc}$.

Nuclearity of conjugate operator

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then its conjugate operator $T' : F' \rightarrow M(X, E')$ is given by

$$T'(y') := m_{y'} \quad \text{for } y' \in F'.$$

Corollary 2.6. [St]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. If E' has the Radon–Nikodym property and F is reflexive, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F .
- (ii) T' is nuclear.

In this case: $\|T'\|_{nuc} = \|T\|_{\beta\text{-}nuc}$.

Nuclearity of conjugate operator

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then its conjugate operator $T' : F' \rightarrow M(X, E')$ is given by

$$T'(y') := m_{y'} \quad \text{for } y' \in F'.$$

Corollary 2.6. [St]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. If E' has the Radon–Nikodym property and F is reflexive, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F .
- (ii) T' is nuclear.

In this case: $\|T'\|_{nuc} = \|T\|_{\beta\text{-}nuc}$.

Nuclearity of conjugate operator

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then its conjugate operator $T' : F' \rightarrow M(X, E')$ is given by

$$T'(y') := m_{y'} \quad \text{for } y' \in F'.$$

Corollary 2.6. [St]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. If E' has the Radon–Nikodym property and F is reflexive, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F .
- (ii) T' is nuclear.

In this case: $\|T'\|_{nuc} = \|T\|_{\beta\text{-}nuc}$.

Nuclearity of conjugate operator

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then its conjugate operator $T' : F' \rightarrow M(X, E')$ is given by

$$T'(y') := m_{y'} \quad \text{for } y' \in F'.$$

Corollary 2.6. [St]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. If E' has the Radon–Nikodym property and F is reflexive, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F .
- (ii) T' is nuclear.

In this case: $\|T'\|_{nuc} = \|T\|_{\beta\text{-}nuc}$.

Nuclearity of conjugate operator

Let $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator and m be its representing measure. Then its conjugate operator $T' : F' \rightarrow M(X, E')$ is given by

$$T'(y') := m_{y'} \quad \text{for } y' \in F'.$$

Corollary 2.6. [St]

Assume that $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $(\beta, \|\cdot\|_F)$ -continuous linear operator. If E' has the Radon–Nikodym property and F is reflexive, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a nuclear operator between the locally convex space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$ and the Banach space F .
- (ii) T' is nuclear.

In this case: $\|T'\|_{nuc} = \|T\|_{\beta\text{-}nuc}$.

References

- [A] Alexander, G., *Linear operators on the space of vector-valued continuous functions*, Ph.D. thesis, New Mexico State university, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 1976.
- [B] Buck, R.C., *Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space*, Michigan Math. J., 5 (1958), 95–104.
- [DU] Diestel, J. and J.J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Amer. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys 15, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [F] Fontenot, D., *Strict topologies for vector-valued functions*, Canad. J. Math., 26 no. 4 (1974), 841–853.
- [Gi] Giles, R., *A generalization of the strict topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 161 (1971), 467–474.
- [Go] Goodrich, R.K., *A Riesz representation theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 24 (1970), 629–636.
- [K] Khan, L.A., *The strict topology on a space of vector-valued functions*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 22 no. 1 (1979), 35–41.
- [KC] Khurana, S.S., S.A. Choo, *Strict topology and P -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1976), 280–284.

References

- [N] Nowak, M., *A Riesz representation theory for completely regular Hausdorff spaces and its applications*, Open Math., 14 (2016), 474–496.
- [NS] Nowak, M., J. Stochmal, *Nuclear operators on $C_b(X, E)$ and the strict topology*, Math. Slovaca, 68 no. 1 (2018), 135–146.
- [P] Pietsch, A., *Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [Po] Popa, D., *Nuclear operators on $C(T, X)$* , Stud. Cerc. Mat., 42 no. 1 (1990), 47–50.
- [R] Ryan, R., *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Math., 2002.
- [SS] Saab, P., B. Smith, *Nuclear operators on spaces of continuous vector-valued functions*, Glasgow Math. J., 33 no. 2 (1994), 223–230.
- [Sch] Schaefer, H.H., *Topological Vector Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1971.
- [S] Schwartz, L., *Séminaire Schwartz*, Exposé 13, Université de Paris, (1953/54).
- [St] Stochmal, J., *A characterization of nuclear operators on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions with the strict topology*, submitted.
- [To] Tong, A.E., *Nuclear mappings on $C(X)$* , Math. Ann. 194 (1971), 213–224.

Thank you for your attention!