

Boolean Functions with Maximum Algebraic Immunity Based on Properties of Punctured Reed–Muller Codes

Konstantinos Limniotis^{1,2} and Nicholas Kolokotronis³

¹Dept. of Informatics &
Telecommunications,
National and Kapodistrian
University of Athens,
15784 Athens, Greece
Email: klimn@di.uoa.gr

²Hellenic Data
Protection Authority,
Kifissias 1-3,
11523 Athens, Greece
Email: klimniotis@dpa.gr

³Dept. of Informatics &
Telecommunications,
University of Peloponnese,
End of Karaiskaki St.,
22100 Tripolis, Greece
E-mail: nkolok@uop.gr

BalkanCryptSec 2015 - September 3rd-4th, 2015, Koper, Slovenia

Talk Outline

1 Introduction

- Problem Statement
- Definitions
- Previous work

2 New constructions of functions with maximum AI

- Annihilators as codewords of punctured RM codes
- Secondary constructions
 - Application to the Carlet–Feng construction
 - Behavior w.r.t other cryptographic criteria

3 Conclusions

Problem Statement

Cryptographic Strength

- Security of symmetric cryptosystems relies on properties of the underlying Boolean functions
- Several criteria to assess the resistance against attacks
 - balancedness
 - algebraic degree
 - nonlinearity

Cryptanalytic Advances

Many cryptographic functions failed to thwart new attacks

- algebraic attacks ([Courtois-Meier, 2003](#))
- fast algebraic attacks ([Courtois, 2003](#))

Design of functions achieving all main cryptographic criteria is still an active research area

Boolean Functions

A **Boolean function** f on n variables is a mapping from \mathbb{F}_2^n onto \mathbb{F}_2

- The vector $\mathbf{f} = (f(0,0,\dots,0), f(1,0,\dots,0), \dots, f(1,1,\dots,1))$ of length 2^n is the **truth table** of f
- The **Hamming weight** of f is denoted by $\text{wt}(\mathbf{f})$
 - f is **balanced** if and only if $\text{wt}(\mathbf{f}) = 2^{n-1}$
- The **support** $\text{supp}(f)$ of f is the set $\{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : f(\mathbf{b}) = 1\}$
- **Algebraic Normal Form (ANF)** of f :

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} v_I \mathbf{x}^I, \quad v_I \in \mathbb{F}_2, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{x}^I = \prod_{i \in I} x_i$$

- $[n] \triangleq \{1, \dots, n\}$
- The sum is performed over \mathbb{F}_2
- The **degree** $\deg(f)$ of f is the highest number of variables that appear in a product term in its ANF.

Univariate representation of Boolean functions

- \mathbb{F}_2^n is isomorphic to the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} ,
- \Rightarrow Any function $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ can also be represented by a univariate polynomial, mapping \mathbb{F}_{2^n} onto \mathbb{F}_2 , as follows

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \beta_i x^i$$

where $\beta_0, \beta_{2^n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\beta_{2^i} = \beta_i^2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 2$

- The coefficients of the polynomial are associated with the **Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)** of f
- The degree of f can be directly deduced by the univariate representation
- The univariate representation is more convenient in several cases

Properties on the degree of f

Discrete Fourier Transform

$$A_t = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(\alpha^i) \alpha^{-it}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$

- If $\text{wt}(\mathbf{f})$ is even ($A_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(\alpha^i) = f(0)$), then $\deg(f)$ equals the maximum weight of $t \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ for which $A_t \neq 0$
 - Otherwise, $\deg(f) = n$

Reed-Muller codes

- If $\deg(f) \leq r$, then \mathbf{f} is a codeword of the r th order binary Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}(r, n)$
- The punctured Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}^*(r, n)$ is known to be cyclic having as zeros the elements α^t , for all nonzero $t \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ satisfying $\text{wt}(t) < n - r$

The notion of nonlinearity

- Cryptographic functions need to be balanced, as well as of high degree
 - The maximum possible degree of a balanced Boolean function with n variables is $n - 1$
- High degree though is not adequate to prevent linear cryptanalysis (in block ciphers - [Matsui, 1992](#)) or best affine approximation attacks (in stream ciphers - [Ding et. al., 1991](#))
 - A function should not be well approximated by a linear/affine function
- **Nonlinearity of f :**

$$\text{nl}(f) = \min_{l \in \mathbb{B}_n : \deg(l)=1} \text{wt}(f + l)$$

- High nonlinearity is prerequisite for thwarting attacks based on affine (linear) approximations

More recent attacks: Algebraic attacks

Milestones

- Algebraic attacks ([Courtois-Meier, 2003](#))
- Fast algebraic attacks ([Courtois, 2003](#))
- The basic idea is to reduce the degree of the mathematical equations employing the secret key
- Known cryptographic Boolean functions failed to thwart these attacks
- The notion of [algebraic immunity](#) has been introduced, to assess the strength of a function against such attacks

Annihilators and algebraic immunity

Definition

Given $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$, we say that $g \in \mathbb{B}_n$ is an **annihilator** of f if and only if g lies in the set

$$\mathcal{AN}(f) = \{g \in \mathbb{B}_n : f * g = 0\}$$

Definition

The **algebraic immunity** $\text{AI}(f)$ of $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ is defined by

$$\text{AI}(f) = \min_{g \neq 0} \{\deg(g) : g \in \mathcal{AN}(f) \cup \mathcal{AN}(f + 1)\}$$

- A high algebraic immunity is prerequisite for preventing algebraic attacks ([Meier-Pasalic-Carlet, 2004](#))
- Well-known upper bound: $\text{AI}(f) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$

Fast algebraic attacks

- Extensions of the conventional algebraic attacks
- Aiming at identifying $g, h \in \mathbb{B}_n$, for a given function $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$, such that $fg = h$ with $\deg(g) = e < \text{AI}(f)$, $\deg(h) = d$ and $e + d < n$
 - A pair (e, d) with $e + d \geq n$ always exists
- We say that f admits a (e, d) pair if there exist functions g, h with the aforementioned properties.
- Functions that have no (e, d) pair such that $e + d < n$ are called **perfect algebraic immune**
- Maximum AI does not imply resistance to fast algebraic attacks
 - A perfect algebraic immune function though has always maximum AI (Pasalic, 2008)

Constructions of functions with maximum AI

- [Dalai-Maitra-Sarkar, 2006](#): Majority function
- [Carlet-Dalai-Gupta-Maitra-Sarkar, 2006](#): Iterative construction
- [Li-Qi, 2006](#), [Su-Tang-Zeng, 2014](#): Modification of the majority function
- [Sarkar-Maitra, 2007](#): Rotation Symmetric Boolean functions (RSBF) of odd n
 - [Su-Tang, 2014](#): RSBF for arbitrary n
- [Carlet, 2008](#): Based on properties of affine subspaces
 - Further investigation in [Carlet-Zeng-Li-Hu, 2009](#)
 - Generalization (for odd n) in [Limniotis-Kolokotronis-Kalouptsidis, 2011](#)
- Balanceness and/or high nonlinearity are not always attainable, whereas they do not behave well w.r.t. fast algebraic attacks

The Carlet-Feng (CF) construction

- [Carlet-Feng, 2008](#): $\text{supp}(f) = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{2^{n-1}-1}\}$, where α a primitive element of the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .
 - Degree $n - 1$ (i.e. the maximum possible)
 - High nonlinearity is ensured
 - Best currently known lower bound ([Tang et. al., 2013](#).)

$$\text{nl}(f) \geq 2^{n-1} - \left(\frac{n \ln(2)}{\pi} + 0.74 \right) 2^{n/2} - 1$$

- Experiments show that the actual values of nonlinearities are much higher
 - Optimal against fast algebraic attacks, as subsequently shown ([Liu-Zhang-Lin, 2012](#))
- Other important constructions have been also recently proved (e.g. [Tang-Carlet-Tang, 2013](#), [Li-Carlet-Zeng-Li-Hu-Shan, 2014](#))

Generalizations of Carlet-Feng construction

- [Rizomiliotis, 2010](#): A new construction based on the univariate representation
 - Associate the AI with the rank of a well-determined matrix
 - For n odd, equivalent to the CF construction
- [Zeng-Carlet-Shan-Hu, 2011](#): Modifications of the Rizomiliotis construction
- Further generalizations in [Limniotis-Kolokotronis-Kalouptsidis, 2013](#):
 - Finding swaps between $\text{supp}(f)$ and $\text{supp}(f + 1)$ that preserve maximum AI
 - Via solving a system of linear equations, with upper-triangular coefficient matrix
 - Each nonzero entry in the solution vector indicates a swapping
 - \Rightarrow Algorithm `singleswap`(for n odd)

Alg. singleswap

- Basic tool: The $(2^{n-1}) \times (2^n - 1)$ binary matrix $R_{(n+1)/2, n-1}$ ([Rizomiliotis, 2010](#))

$$R_{(n+1)/2, n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 & e_1 & \dots & e_E & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e_0 & \dots & e_{E-1} & e_E & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & e_E \end{pmatrix}$$

- $E = 2^{n-1} - 1$
- $e_0 + e_1x + \dots + e_Ex^E$: the generator polynomial of $\text{RM}^*(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)$
- For any $0 \leq r < 2^n - 1$ each column vector \mathbf{v}^r of $R_{(n+1)/2, n-1}$ is

$$\mathbf{v}^r = \begin{cases} (e_r \ \dots \ e_1 \ e_0 \ \mathbf{0}_{E-r})^T, & \text{if } r \leq E \\ (\mathbf{0}_{r-E} \ e_E \ \dots \ e_{r-E})^T, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Alg. singleswap (Cont.)

- Goal: For α^m , $m > 2^{n-1} - 1$, find α^j , $j \leq 2^{n-1} - 1$, such that replacing (swapping) α^j with α^m in the support of the CF function retains the maximum AI
- [Limnietis-Kolokotronis-Kalouptsidis, 2013](#): Consider the left-hand square upper-diagonal sub-matrix R'

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} e_0 & e_1 & \dots & e_E & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e_0 & \dots & e_{E-1} & e_E & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e_1 & \vdots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e_0 & \vdots & \dots & e_E \end{array} \right)$$

- Solve the system $R'z = v^m$
 - Via backward substitution
- Each $0 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1} - 1$ such that $z_j = 1$ is an answer

Alg. singleswap (Cont.)

Algorithm 1 singleswap(n, f, α^m, k)

Input: odd integer n , function $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ with $\text{supp}(f) = \{\alpha^0, \dots, \alpha^E\}$
 element $\alpha^m \notin \text{supp}(f)$, and integer k

```

1:  $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2:  $z \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$  ▷ all-zero vector of length  $E + 1$ 
3:  $i \leftarrow E$ 
4: while ( $i \geq E - k + 1$ ) do
5:    $z_i \leftarrow v_i^m$ 
6:   if  $i \neq E$  then
7:     for  $r = i + 1, \dots, E$  do
8:        $z_i \leftarrow z_i + v_i^r * z_r$ 
9:     end
10:  end
11:  if  $z_i = 1$  then
12:     $S \leftarrow S \cup i$ 
13:  end
14:   $i \leftarrow i - 1$ 
15: end
```

Output: $S = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} \subset \{E - k + 1, \dots, E\}$: for all $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ the function
 $g \in \mathbb{B}_n$ with $\text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \cup \{\alpha^m\} \setminus \{\alpha^{j_\ell}\}$ has maximum AI

- We may simply find k entries of z , for any $k \ll 2^{n-1}$
 - The algorithm computes the last k entries z_E, \dots, z_{E-k+1} in decreasing order
- The overall computational complexity is described by $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$

Contribution of this work

In this work

- Generalization of the above, so as to find arbitrary number of swaps retaining the maximum AI
- Properties of punctured Reed–Muller codes $\text{RM}^*(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)$ are employed
 - Due to Alg. singleswap, efficient application to the CF function

Useful terminology

- For two codewords (polynomials) of a binary code

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h_i x^i \quad \text{and} \quad c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_i x^i$$

we have $h \preceq c \Leftrightarrow h_i \leq c_i$ for all i .

- A **minimal** codeword is any codeword $v(x)$ such that there is no nonzero codeword $v'(x)$ of the code with $v' \prec v$.

The case of odd n

Well known result If n is odd, then $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ has maximum algebraic immunity $\frac{n+1}{2}$ if and only if f is balanced and has no nonzero annihilators of degree at most $\frac{n-1}{2}$.

Proposition (Limniotis-Kolokotronis-Kalouptsidis, 2013)

Let $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ with $\text{supp}(f) = \{\alpha^{r_0}, \alpha^{r_1}, \dots, \alpha^{r_E}\}$ have $\text{AI}(f) = \frac{n+1}{2}$.

- For all $\alpha^m \notin \text{supp}(f)$, the function g with $\text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \cup \alpha^m$ does not have maximum AI
- $g + 1$ has a unique annihilator u with $\deg(u) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$
- The function \tilde{g} whose support is $\text{supp}(g) \setminus \alpha^j$ satisfies $\text{AI}(\tilde{g}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ if and only if $\alpha^j \in \text{supp}(u)$.

In the sequel: n odd, α a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

Annihilators as codewords

Theorem

Let $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ be balanced with $\text{supp}(f) = \{\alpha^{r_0}, \alpha^{r_1}, \dots, \alpha^{r_E}\}$ and $r_0 = 0$. Then, $\text{AI}(f) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ if and only if there is no nonzero even weight codeword $v(x)$ of the code $\text{RM}^*(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)$ such that $v(x) \preceq c(x) = 1 + x^{r_1} + \dots + x^{r_E}$.

Proof (Sketch)

- Let $g \in \mathcal{AN}_{1+f}$, with $g \neq 0$ and $\deg(g) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.
 - $\text{supp}(g) \subset \text{supp}(f)$
- Then for the DFT coefficients A_0, \dots, A_{2^n-2} of g we have $A_k = 0$ for any k such that $\text{wt}(k) \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$
- \Rightarrow The binary polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} v_i x^i$ with $v_i = 1$ if and only if $\alpha^i \in \text{supp}(g)$ satisfies $v(1) = 0$ and $v(\alpha^k) = 0$ for any k such that $\text{wt}(k) \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$

Annihilators as minimal codewords

Proposition

Let $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ have $\text{Al}(f) = \frac{n+1}{2}$, where $\text{supp}(f) = \{\alpha^{r_0}, \dots, \alpha^{r_E}\}$ and $r_0 = 0$. For all $\alpha^j \notin \text{supp}(f)$, there exists a unique nonzero even weight minimal codeword $v(x)$ of $\text{RM}^*(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)$ such that $x^j \prec v(x)$ and $v(x) \preceq c(x) = 1 + x^{r_1} + \dots + x^{r_E} + x^j$.

Proof (Sketch)

- A direct conclusion from the fact that the function g with $\text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \cup \alpha^j$ has not maximum Al.
 - The minimality is ensured by the fact that $g + 1$ has a unique annihilator of degree less than $\frac{n+1}{2}$.

Application to the CF function

If f is the CF function:

- For any $j > E$, there exists a unique nonzero even-weight minimal codeword $u_j(x)$ of $\text{RM}^*(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)$, with $x^j \prec u_j(x)$ and $u_j(x) \preceq c^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^E x^i + x^j$.
 - Direct corollary from the previous Proposition
- The codewords u_j have a main role in developing new construction of functions with maximum AI, as shown next

Key result

Proposition

Let $c(x) = c_1(x) + c_2(x)$, where $c_1(x) \preceq \sum_{i=0}^E x^i$, $c_2(x) \preceq \sum_{i=E+1}^{N-1} x^i$.
If \exists nonzero even weight codeword $v(x)$ of $\text{RM}^*(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)$ with $v(x) \preceq c(x)$, then $v(x)$ necessarily has the form

$$v(x) = \sum_{j \in J} \delta_j u_j(x), \quad \delta_j \in \mathbb{F}_2, \quad J \subseteq \{E < i < N : x^i \preceq c_2(x)\}$$

Proof (Sketch)

- Suppose there exists exists minimal codeword $v'(x) \preceq c(x)$ not having the above form
- It holds $v'(x) = v'_1(x) + v'_2(x)$, where $v'_1(x) \preceq c_1(x)$, $v'_2(x) \preceq c_2(x)$.
- Let $J' = \{E < i < N : x^i \preceq v'_2(x)\}$. Then $u' + v'$ is also an even weight codeword of $\text{RM}^*(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)$, where $u' = \sum_{j \in J'} u_j(x)$
- But $u' + v' \preceq \sum_{i=0}^E x^i \Rightarrow \deg(u' + v') \leq E$ - a contradiction.

A property that ensures maximum AI

Theorem

Let $g \in \mathbb{B}_n$, where

- $\text{supp}(g) = \{\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^E\} \cup A \setminus B$,
- $A = \{\alpha^{j_1}, \dots, \alpha^{j_r}\} \subset \text{supp}(f+1)$ and
 $B = \{\alpha^{i_1}, \dots, \alpha^{i_r}\} \subset \text{supp}(f)$, where
 - a. $i_s \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq s \leq r$,
 - b. $x^{i_s} \prec u_{j_s}(x)$ for all $1 \leq s \leq r$,
 - c. $x^{i_s} \not\prec u_{j_t}(x)$ for all $1 \leq t \leq r$ with $t \neq s$.

Then $\text{AI}(g) = \frac{n+1}{2}$.

Proof (Sketch)

- Let $\text{supp}(g) = \{\alpha^0, \alpha^{r_1}, \dots, \alpha^{r_E}\}$
- The choice of sets A, B ensures that there is no $A' \subseteq \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ such that $\sum_{j \in A'} u_j(x) \prec 1 + x^{r_1} + \dots + x^{r_E}$

Towards developing a new construction

- Having knowledge of u_j , we may proceed by a new construction due to the previous Theorem
- Basic idea: Start from the CF function f and swap elements between $\text{supp}(f)$ and $\text{supp}(f + 1)$ such as:
 - If $A \subset \text{supp}(f + 1)$ that is "swapped" to $\text{supp}(f)$, then for any j such that $\alpha^j \in A$, there exists a position at the codeword polynomial $u_j(x)$ where the corresponding coefficient is nonzero, whereas the corresponding coefficients of all other $u_{j'}(x)$, $j' \in A$, are zero.
- Crucial point: Efficient identification of $u_j(x)$ for all desired j is needed
- The answer: Alg. singleswap!
 - It is easily proved that Alg. singleswap returns exactly the coefficients of $u_j(x)$

The new algorithm

- Putting all together...

Algorithm 2 $\text{modifyCF}(n, f, M, k)$

Input: odd integer n , function $f \in \mathbb{B}_n$ with $\text{supp}(f) = \{\alpha^0, \dots, \alpha^E\}$
set $M = \{\alpha^{m_1}, \dots, \alpha^{m_r}\} \subset \text{supp}(f + 1)$, and integer k

```
1: for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  do
2:    $S^{(i)} \leftarrow \text{singleswap}(n, f, \alpha^{m_i}, k)$ 
3: end
4:  $S = \emptyset$ 
5: for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  do
6:   Choose  $j_i \in S^{(i)} \setminus \bigcup_{p \neq i} S^{(p)}$  so that  $\forall p \neq i, \exists j'_i \in S^{(p)}$  with  $j'_i < j_i$ 
7:    $S \leftarrow S \cup \{j_i\}$ 
8: end
```

Output: $S = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, E\}$: the function $g \in \mathbb{B}_n$ with
 $\text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \cup M \setminus \{\alpha^{j_1}, \dots, \alpha^{j_r}\}$ has maximum AI

- In general, many choices for selecting j_i from $S^{(i)}$
- Its worst-case computational complexity is $\mathcal{O}(rkL)$, for $L = \max\{k, r \log_2 k\}$.
 - Line 2: $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$
 - Line 6: For each candidate element of $S^{(i)}$, we apply binary search on at most $r - 1$ ordered arrays with length at most k

Other cryptographic criteria

Proposition

There always exists a Boolean function g constructed via Alg. modifyCF such that $\deg(g) = n - 1$.

Proposition

It holds $nl(g) > 2^{n-1} - \left(\frac{\ln 2}{\pi}n + 0.74\right)2^{n/2} - 2r - 1$, where r is the number of swapped pairs.

Discussion

- Maximum possible algebraic degree is attainable
- High nonlinearity can be achieved
 - Due to the fact that the CF function has high nonlinearity

An example

- $n = 7$, $f \in \mathbb{B}_7$ a CF function,
 $M = \{\alpha^{80}, \alpha^{81}, \alpha^{90}, \alpha^{91}\} \subset \text{supp}(f + 1)$ (random choice)

Application of Alg. singleswap to f , for each element of M

m_i	Set $S^{(i)}$ of all possible j_i
80	0 3 6-9 11-15 17 18 21-24 28 29 33 36 38-41 43 45-47 53 54 56 58 61 63
81	0-2 4-7 11 13 14 18 19 21 22 25 26 29 31-33 38-45 49 51 53-55 57 58-61 63
90	0 2 3 7 10 15-17 19 22 24 27 29 32 33 38-40 45 46 48 50 51 53-56 58 60 61 63
91	0-6 9 10 12 15 17 18 20 21 24-26 28 31 32 37-41 43 45 48 52-60 63

- All possible single swaps have been computed (Alg. singleswap has been executed for $k = 2^{n-1} = 64$)
 - For each $m_i \in \{80, 81, 90, 91\}$, all possible j_i such that $g \in \mathbb{B}_7$ with $\text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \setminus \{\alpha^{j_i}\} \cup \{\alpha^{m_i}\}$ has maximum AI, are given
- Proceed with the next step of Alg. modifyCF

An example (*Cont.*)

Find entries that appear in exactly one row

m_i	Set $S^{(i)}$ of all possible j_i
80	0 3 6-9 11-15 17 18 21-24 28 29 33 36 38-41 43 45-47 53 54 56 58 61 63
81	0-2 4-7 11 13 14 18 19 21 22 25 26 29 31-33 38-45 49 51 53-55 57 58-61 63
90	0 2 3 7 10 15-17 19 22 24 27 29 32 33 38-40 45 46 48 50 51 53-56 58 60 61 63
91	0-6 9 10 12 15 17 18 20 21 24-26 28 31 32 37-41 43 45 48 52-60 63

New function $g \in \mathbb{B}_7$ with maximum AI

- $\text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \setminus \{\alpha^{47}, \alpha^{49}, \alpha^{50}, \alpha^{52}\} \cup M$
 - Even if we had executed singleswap for $k = 17$ (instead of 64), we would get the same result
- For the specific example, 108 different functions can be generated
 - Possible choices:
 - $\{47, 36, 23, 8\}$ (from $S^{(1)}$),
 - $\{49, 44, 42\}$ (from $S^{(2)}$),
 - $\{50, 27, 16\}$ (from $S^{(3)}$),
 - $\{52, 37, 20\}$ (from $S^{(4)}$).

An example (*Cont.*)

Behavior w.r.t. other cryptographic criteria

- $\deg(g) = 6$ - i.e. the maximum possible
- $nl(g) = 52$
 - Slightly lower than $nl(f) = 54$, (f is the CF function)
 - Most of all possible 108 functions have also nonlinearity 52
 - Nonlinearity equal to 54 is attainable (although higher values were not observed, for the specific example)
- The same behavior w.r.t. fast algebraic attacks, as the CF function
 - g does not admit any pair (e, d) with $e = 1$ and $e + d \leq n - 1$, whilst for $e > 1$ there is no any pair (e, d) satisfying $e + d < n - 1$.

Conclusions - Future research

Summary

- New construction of functions with maximum AI (n odd)
 - Having the CF function f as a starting point, it seems that other cryptographic criteria are also satisfied
 - Arbitrary number of swaps between $\text{supp}(f)$ and $\text{supp}(f + 1)$ that preserve maximum AI
 - Associate annihilators of degree less than $\frac{n+1}{2}$ with minimal codewords of $\text{RM}^*\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, n\right)$

Open problems

- Identify other possible swaps that satisfy the desired property
- Nonlinearity and fast algebraic attacks should be further elaborated
- Possible extension to the even case

Questions & Answers

Thank you for your attention!